arXiv:1703.05494v1 [math.DG] 16 Mar 2017

PRIVILEGED COORDINATES AND TANGENT GROUPOID FOR CARNOT MANIFOLDS, I. CARNOT COORDINATES

WOOCHEOL CHOI AND RAPHAËL PONGE

Abstract. In this paper, we produce a refinement of the privileged coordinates on Carnot manifolds for which the associated extrinsic tangent group at a given point agrees with the intrinsic tangent group. We call these coordinates Carnot coordinates. We give an effective construction of Carnot coordinates and derive several of their properties. By a Carnot manifold it is meant a manifold with a distinguished flag of subbundles of the tangent bundle which is compatible with the Lie bracket of vector fields. This setting includes all equiregular Carnot-Carathéodory manifolds, as well as some non-bracket-generating Carnot manifolds that are naturally associated with Carnot-Carathéodory manifolds.

1. Introduction

This paper is the first of a series of two papers whose focus is the study of the infinitesimal structure of Carnot manifolds. By a Carnot manifold it is simply meant a manifold M together with a flag of subbundles,

$$H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \cdots \subset H_r = TM, \tag{1.1}$$

which is compatible with the Lie bracket of vector fields. We refer to Section 2.3 for a description of various examples of Carnot manifolds. This includes Carnot manifold structures associated with equiregular Carnot-Carathéodory distributions. As mentioned in Section 2.3, even for studying general Carnot-Carathéodory structures we may be led to consider Carnot manifolds that are not associated with a Carnot-Carathéodory distribution. Therefore, it is important to be able to work in the setting of Carnot manifolds.

The main results of the sequel [CP] (hereafter referred to as Part II) are twofolds. First, there are very precise tangent approximation results for maps between Carnot manifolds. Second, those results are used for constructing an analogue for Carnot manifolds of the tangent groupoid of a manifold of Connes [Co]. The existence of such a tangent groupoid was conjectured by Bellaïche [Be]. This gives a definitive explanation why the tangent group of a Carnot manifold must be a graded nilpotent group. Another motivation for the results of Part II is the construction of a pseudodifferential calculus for Carnot manifolds that is the full analogue of the Heisenberg pseudodifferential calculus of Beals-Greiner [BG] and Taylor [Tay]. Such a pseudodifferential calculus would have numerous potential applications to the geometric analysis on Carnot manifolds. We shall deal with its construction and some of its applications in forthcoming papers.

A crucial ingredient in Part II is the use of Carnot coordinates, which refine the usual privileged coordinates. The aim of this paper is to construct and derive the main properties of these coordinates. To motivate the need for such coordinates recall that there are different approaches to the tangent group of a Carnot manifold at a given point. One approach, which goes back to Tanaka [Ta], is essentially algebraic. More precisely, the grading associated with a Carnot flag (1.1) naturally gives rise to a (smooth) bundle of graded nilpotent Lie groups GM (see also [CS, MM, Me] and Section 3). This construction only depends on the Carnot flag, and so it is completely *intrinsic*. The fiber $GM(a)$ at a point $a \in M$ is called the (intrinsic) tangent group at a.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary.

WC was partially supported by POSCO TJ Park Foundation. RP was partially supported by Research Resettlement Fund and Foreign Faculty Research Fund of Seoul National University, and Basic Research grants 2013R1A1A2008802 and 2016R1D1A1B01015971 of National Research Foundation of Korea.

There is also an *extrinsic* approach which goes back to the work of Rothschild-Stein [RS] on hypoelliptic operators (see also [FS, GS]). In this approach, a get an "extrinsic" tangent group $G^{(a)}$ that arises from the "anisotropic approximation" of vector fields in local coordinates (see Section 5 for a more detailed account). This construction is carried out in local coordinates. As a result the group $G^{(a)}$ heavily depends on the local coordinates in which the anisotropic approximation is performed. However, it can be shown there are *privileged coordinates* ([ABB, AS, Be, Go, Gro, He, Je2, MM, Mé1, Mo, RS]) for which $G^{(a)}$ and the intrinsic tangent group $GM(a)$ have isomorphic Lie algebras, and hence are isomorphic (see also Proposition 6.4 on this point). This approach leads to the approximation of differential operators by left-invariant differential operators, and so it is especially useful in the study of hypoelliptic operators on Carnot manifolds (see, e.g., [BG, CGGP, HN, RS, Tay]).

It is natural to ask whether those two approaches to the tangent group could be somehow unified. An answer to that question is provided by the *Carnot coordinates* that we construct in this paper. Those coordinates are special privileged coordinates for which the extrinsic tangent group $G^{(a)}$ agrees with the intrinsic tangent group $GM(a)$. Their construction stems from the following result. Given any privileged coordinates and any suitable isomorphic form G of the intrinsic tangent group, there is an explicit polynomial coordinate change that provides us with privileged coordinates whose associated extrinsic tangent group is precisely G (Theorem 7.9). As a result this allows us to exhibit all the graded nilpotent Lie groups that occur as extrinsic tangent groups. By taking G to be the intrinsic tangent group provides us with an effective construction of a polynomial coordinate change that transforms privileged coordinates into Carnot coordinates (Theorem 8.4). Moreover, this change of coordinate is the unique polynomial change of coordinate of its type that transforms the given privileged coordinates into Carnot coordinates (*loc. cit.*). For instance, in the case of contact manifolds Darboux coordinates provides us with Carnot coordinates (Proposition 8.11).

In [Be] Bellaïche produced an effective construction of privileged coordinates in the framework of Carnot-Carathéodory manifolds. His approach can be extended to the setting of Carnot manifolds with minor changes (see Section 4). We also give a detailed account on the anisotropic approximation of vector fields (see Section 5). In particular, we establish that the various asymptotics at stake hold with respect to C^∞-topologies. On the way we give a characterization of privileged coordinates in terms of anisotropic approximation of vector fields (Theorem 5.21).

Given local coordinates near a point $a \in M$ and a suitable type of tangent frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ called H-frame, we give an effective construction of a change of variables $x \to \varepsilon_a^X(x)$ that produces Carnot coordinates (Theorem 8.7). We call the resulting Carnot coordinates *normal Carnot coordinates*. Carnot coordinates at a given point are by no mean unique, but we show how to obtain all of them in terms of suitable perturbations of the normal Carnot coordinates (Theorem 10.1). The order of the perturbation is actually a "weighted" order depending on the components and variables that arise from dilations naturally associated with the Carnot flag (1.1) (see Section 9 on this point).

The coordinate change $x \to \varepsilon_a^X(x)$ is the unique polynomial coordinate change of its type that produces Carnot coordinates (Theorem 10.2). Furthermore, the effectiveness of its construction shows that, given local coordinates, the map $(a, x) \to \varepsilon_a^X(x)$ is given by universal formulas in terms of coefficients of the vector fields of the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ and depends on a minimal amount of jets of these data (see Proposition 11.1 for the precise statement). As a result, this map depends continuously on the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ (Proposition 11.4). Finally, in the case of a graded nilpotent Lie group $\varepsilon_a(x)$ is simply the map $(a, x) \to a^{-1} \cdot y$, where $\cdot$ is the multiplication on the group (Proposition 12.1).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the main definitions and examples regarding Carnot manifolds. In Section 3, we recall the construction of the tangent group bundle of a Carnot manifold. In Section 4, we explain how to extend to Carnot manifold Bellaïche's construction of privileged coordinates. In Section 5, we give a detailed account on the anisotropic approximation of vector fields. In Section 6, we recall the construction of the extrinsic tangent group in privileged coordinates. In Section 7, we exhibit all the graded nilpotent Lie groups that

occur as extrinsic tangent groups at a given point. Section 8 is devoted to the construction of Carnot coordinates. In Section 9, we gather some results about the relevant notion of approximation of multi-valued maps in our setting. This is used in Section 10 to give a characterization of Carnot coordinates and explain how to get all of them. In Section 11, we give a closer look at normal Carnot coordinates and study their dependence on the H-frame. Finally, in Section 12 we compute the normal Carnot coordinates on graded nilpotent Lie groups.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Andrei Agrachev, Enrico Le Donne, and Frédéric Jean for useful discussions related to the subject matter of this paper. They also thank an anonymous referee whose insightful comments help improving the presentation of the paper. In addition, they would like to thank Henri Poincaré Institute (Paris, France), McGill University (Montréal, Canada) and University of California at Berkeley (Berkeley, USA) for their hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

2. Carnot Manifolds. Definitions and Examples

In this section, we give the main definitions and examples related to graded nilpotent Lie groups and Carnot manifolds.

2.1. **Carnot Groups and Graded nilpotent Lie groups.** Carnot manifolds and graded nilpotent Lie groups are motivated by Carnot groups.

Definition 2.1 ([Pa])**.** A Carnot algebra of step r is a finite dimensional step r nilpotent real Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot])$ together with a grading,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r, \tag{2.1}$$

such that $\mathfrak{g}_{w+1} = [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_w]$ for $1 < w < r$.

Remark 2.2. Carnot algebras are also called stratified nilpotent Lie algebras (see [Fo]).

Remark 2.3. Any commutative Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (i.e., any complex vector space) is a step 1 Carnot algebra with $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 2.4. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Carnot algebra of step r with $\mathfrak{g}_r \neq \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{g}_w \neq \{0\}$ for all $w = 1, \ldots, r-1$.

Remark 2.5. The condition $\mathfrak{g}_{w+1} = [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_w]$ for $w = 1, \ldots, r-1$ imply that the grading (2.1) is compatible with the Lie bracket of vector fields in the sense that

$$[\mathfrak{g}_w, \mathfrak{g}_{w'}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{w+w'} \text{ for } w + w' \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{g}_w, \mathfrak{g}_{w'}] = \{0\} \text{ for } w + w' > r. \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.6. A classification of n-dimensional Carnot algebras of step $n-1$ was obtained by Vergne [Ve]. A classification of rigid Carnot algebras was obtained by Agrachev-Marigo [AM2].

Definition 2.7 ([Pa])**.** A step r Carnot group is a connected and simply connected nilpotent real Lie group whose Lie algebra is a Carnot algebra.

Remark 2.8. We refer to [BLU] for a thorough account on Carnot groups.

Let G be a Carnot group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We shall identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on G. The associated grading (2.1) gives rise to a family of dilations δ_t, $t > 0$, on $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\delta_t(X) = t^w X \qquad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{g}_w,\ w = 1, \ldots, r.$$

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_1$. Then the sub-Laplacian $\Delta = -(X_1^2 + \cdots + X_m^2)$ has degree 2 with respect to the dilations δ_t, $t > 0$. Moreover, Δ and the heat operator $\Delta + \partial_t$ both satisfy the bracket-generating condition of Hörmander [Hö], and so they are hypoelliptic operators. In the setting of parabolic differential equations we often consider time-differentiation as having degree 2. In terms of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ this amounts to use the following grading,

$$\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r.$$

This grading is compatible with the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$. However, it is not a Carnot algebra grading, since no elements of $\mathbb{R}$ can be obtained from the Lie brackets of elements of $\mathfrak{g}_1$. We are thus lead to go beyond the scope of Carnot groups.

Definition 2.9. A (positively) *graded nilpotent Lie algebra* of step r is a step r nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with a grading,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r, \tag{2.3}$$

which is compatible with the Lie bracket in the sense of (2.2).

Remark 2.10. In (2.3) we allow the subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_j$ to be $\{0\}$ (compare with Remark 2.4).

Definition 2.11. A *graded nilpotent Lie group* of step r is a connected simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is a step r graded nilpotent Lie algebra.

2.2. **Carnot manifolds.** In what follows, given a manifold M and distributions $H_j \subset TM$, $j = 1, 2$, we shall denote by $[H_1, H_2]$ the distribution generated by the Lie brackets of their sections, i.e.,

$$[H_1, H_2] = \bigsqcup_{x \in M} \Big\{ [X_1, X_2](x);\ X_j \in C^\infty(M, H_j), j = 1, 2 \Big\}.$$

Throughout this paper we shall use the following definition of a Carnot manifold.

Definition 2.12. A Carnot manifold is a pair (M, H), where M is a manifold and $H = (H_1, \dots, H_r)$ is a finite flag of subbundles,

$$H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \cdots \subset H_r = TM, \tag{2.4}$$

which is compatible with the Lie bracket of vector fields, i.e.,

$$[H_w, H_{w'}] \subset H_{w+w'} \qquad \text{for } w + w' \leq r. \tag{2.5}$$

The number r is called the *step* of the Carnot manifold (M, H). The sequence $(\operatorname{rk} H_1, \dots, \operatorname{rk} H_r)$ is called its *type*.

Remark 2.13. Carnot manifolds are called filtered manifolds in [CS].

Definition 2.14. Let (M, H) and (M', H') be Carnot manifolds of step r, where $H = (H_1, \dots, H_r)$ and $H' = (H'_1, \dots, H'_r)$. Then

(1) A Carnot map $\phi : M \to M'$ is smooth map such that, for $j = 1, \dots, r$,

$$\phi'(x)X \in H'_j(\phi(x)) \qquad \text{for all } (x, X) \in H_j. \tag{2.6}$$

(2) A Carnot diffeomorphism $\phi : M \to M'$ is diffeomorphism which is a Carnot map.

Remark 2.15. If $\phi : M \to M'$ is a Carnot diffeomorphism, then the condition (2.6) exactly means that $\phi_* H_j = H'_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Therefore, in this case, the Carnot manifold (M, H) and (M', H') must have same type and the inverse map ϕ^{-1} is a Carnot diffeomorphism as well.

Let (M^n, H) be an n-dimensional Carnot manifold of step r, so that $H = (H_1, \dots, H_r)$, where the subbundles H_j satisfy (2.4).

Definition 2.16. The weight sequence of Carnot manifold (M, H) is the sequence $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ defined by

$$w_j = \min\{w \in \{1, \dots, r\}; j \leq \operatorname{rk} H_w\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Remark 2.17. Two Carnot manifolds have same type if and only if they have same weight sequence.

Throughout this paper we will make use of the following type of tangent frames.

Definition 2.18. An H-frame over an open $U \subset M$ is a tangent frame $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ over U which is compatible with the flag $(H_1, \dots, H_r)$ in the sense that, for $w = 1, \dots, r$, the vector fields X_j, $w_j = w$, are sections of H_w.

Remark 2.19. If $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an H-frame near a point $a \in M$, then, for $w = 1, \dots, r$, the family $\{X_j;\ w_j \le w\}$ is a local frame of H_w near the point a. Note this implies that X_j is a section of $H_{w_j} \setminus H_{w_j-1}$ when $w_j \ge 2$.

Remark 2.20. Suppose that $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an H-frame near a point $a \in M$. Then the condition (2.5) implies that the vector field $[X_i, X_j]$ is a section of $H_{w_i+w_j}$ when $w_i + w_j \le r$. As $\{X_k; w_k \le w_i + w_j\}$ is a local frame of $H_{w_i+w_j}$, we deduce that there are unique smooth functions $L_{ij}^k(x)$ near $x = 0$ such that

$$[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{w_k \le w_i + w_j} L_{ij}^k(x) X_k \qquad \text{near } x = a. \tag{2.8}$$

2.3. **Examples of Carnot Manifolds.** We shall now describe various examples of Carnot manifolds. The following list is by no means exhaustive, but it should give the reader a good glimpse at the vast diversity of examples of Carnot manifolds.

A. *Nilpotent Lie Groups.* Let G be a step r graded nilpotent Lie group. Recall that by Definition 2.11 this means that G is a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has a grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ satisfying (2.2). This grading gives rise to a family of anisotropic dilations δ_t, $t > 0$, given by

$$\delta_t(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \cdots + \xi_r) = t\xi_1 + t^2\xi_2 + \cdots + t^r\xi_r, \qquad \xi_j \in \mathfrak{g}_j.$$

Thanks to (2.2) these dilations are Lie algebra automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$, and so they give rise to Lie group isomorphisms of G. For $j = 1, \dots, r$, set $\mathfrak{h}_j = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_j$. Using the identification $\mathfrak{g} \simeq T_0G$, let H_j be the left-invariant subbundle of TG that agrees with $\mathfrak{h}_j$ at $x = 0$. Then $H_r = TG$ and $[H_i, H_j] \subset H_{i+j}$ for $i + j \le r$. Therefore, we get a left-invariant Carnot flag $H = (H_1, \dots, H_r)$ over G.

B. *Heisenberg Manifolds.* In the terminology of [BG], a Heisenberg manifold is a manifold together with a distinguished hyperplane bundle $H \subset TM$. In particular, (H, TM) is a Carnot flag. Following are important examples of Heisenberg manifolds:

- The Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^{2n+1}$ and its products with Abelian groups.
- Cauchy-Riemann (CR) manifolds of hypersurface type, e.g., real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds.
- Contact manifolds and even contact manifolds.
- Confoliations of Eliashberg-Thurston [ET].

Given a Heisenberg manifold (M, H), taking Lie bracket of horizontal vector fields modulo H defines an antisymmetric bilinear vector bundle map $\mathcal{L} : H \times H \to TM/H$, which is called the Levi form of (M, H) (see Lemma 3.1). If M has odd dimension, then H is a contact distribution if and only if the Levi form is non-degenerate at every point. If $\dim M$ is even, we say that (M, H) is an even contact manifold when the Levi form has maximal rank $\dim M - 2$ at every point (*cf.* [Mo]).

C. *Foliations and Polycontact Manifolds.* Generalizing the example of a Heisenberg manifold we can consider a manifold M equipped with a Carnot flag (H, TM) where H is a subbundle of TM of arbitrary corank. In this case too there is a well defined Levi form $\mathcal{L} : H \times H \to TM/H$. There are two important opposite examples of such structures:

- Foliations, in which case H is integrable in Fröbenius' sense, i.e., $[H, H] \subset H$, or equivalently, the Levi form $\mathcal{L}$ vanishes at every point. An important example of foliation is the Krönecker foliation on the 2-torus $\mathbb{T}^2$.
- Polycontact manifolds, in which case H is totally non-integrable at every point. That is, for all $x \in M$ and $\theta \in (T_xM/H_x)^* \setminus 0$, the bilinear form $\theta \circ \mathcal{L}_x : H_x \times H_x \to \mathbb{R}$ is non-degenerate. Following are important examples of polycontact manifolds:
  - Métivier groups [Mé2], including the H-type groups of Kaplan [Ka].
  - Principal bundles equipped with the horizontal distribution defined by a fat connection (*cf.* Weinstein [We]).
  - The quaternionic contact manifolds of Biquard [Bi1, Bi2].

– The unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$ in quaternionic space (see [vE]).

Remark 2.21. Polycontact manifolds are called Heisenberg manifolds in [CC]. Polycontact distributions are called fat in [Mo]. The terminology polycontact was coined by van Erp [vE] (following a suggestion of Alan Weinstein).

D. *Carnot-Carathéodory Manifolds.* In particular, given a subbundle, or even a distribution, $H \subset TM$, we recursively define distributions $H^{[j]}$, $j \geq 1$, by

$$H^{[1]} = H \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^{[j+1]} = H^{[j]} + \left[H, H^{[j]}\right], \ j \geq 1.$$

Definition 2.22. A *Carnot-Carathéodory* manifold (or CC manifold) is a pair (M, H), where M is a manifold and H is a subbundle of TM such that, for all $x \in M$, we can find $r \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $H^{[r]}(a) = TM(x)$.

Definition 2.23 ([Gro])**.** An *equiregular Carnot-Carathéodory manifold* (or ECC manifold) is a Carnot-Carathéodory manifold (M, H) for which there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H^{[r]} = TM$ and each distribution $H^{[j]}$, $j = 2, \ldots, r-1$, has constant rank.

Any equiregular Carnot-Carathéodory manifold (M, H) is a Carnot manifold with Carnot flag $\left(H^{[1]}, \ldots H^{[r]}\right)$, since each distribution $H^{[j]}$ is a subbundle. Moreover, any non-equiregular Carnot-Carathéodory structure can be "desingularized" into an equiregular Carnot-Carathéodory structure (see, e.g., [Je2]).

Carnot-Carathéodory structures naturally appear in sub-Riemannian geometry and control theory associated with non-holonomic systems of vector fields. In particular, they occur in real-life situations such as skating motion [Be, Bl], rolling penny [CC], car-like robots [CC], or car with N-trailers [Je1]. They also appear in numerous applied mathematics settings such as the Asian option model in finance, computer vision, image processing, dispersive groundwater and pollution, statistical properties of laser light, extinction in systems of interacting biological populations, dynamics of polymers and astronomy (distribution of clusters in space) (see [Br] and the references therein).

We refer to [ABB, CC, Gro, Je2, Mo, Ri], and the references therein, for detailed accounts on Carnot-Carathéodory manifolds and their various applications.

Contact and polycontact manifolds are examples of ECC manifolds with $r = 2$. An important example of ECC manifolds with $r = 3$ is provided by Engel manifolds, which are 4-dimensional manifold equipped with a plane-bundle $H \subset TM$ such that $H^{[2]}$ has constant rank 3 and $H^{[3]} = TM$ (see [Mo]). More generally, parabolic geometry provides us with a wealth of examples of ECC manifolds. We refer to [CS] for a thorough account on parabolic geometric structures. In addition to the examples above, further examples include the following:

- Nondegenerate (partially) integrable CR manifolds of hypersurface type (see [CS]).
- Contact path geometric structures, including contact projective structures (*cf.* [Fox1, Fox2]).
- Contact quaternionic structures of Biquard [Bi1, Bi2].
- Generic $\left(m, \frac{1}{2}m(m+1)\right)$-distributions, including generic $(3, 6)$-distributions studied by Bryant [Bry] (see [CS]).
- Generic $(2, 3, 5)$-distributions introduced by Cartan [Ca].

All the previous examples are EEC manifolds. The next two examples are provide us with Carnot manifolds that are not ECC manifolds.

E. *The heat equation on an ECC manifold.* Let (M, H) be an ECC manifold, so that the flag $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_r)$ is generated by the iterative Lie brackets of sections of H_1. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ be a spanning frame of H_1. Then the sub-Laplacian $\Delta = -(X_1^2 + \cdots X_m^2)$ and the associated heat operator $\Delta + \partial_t$ are hypoelliptic (see [Hö]). In order to work in a setup where differentiation with respect to time has degree 2 on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ we are led to consider the flag $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{H}_1, \ldots, \tilde{H}_r)$ given by

$$\tilde{H}_1 = \pi_x^* H, \qquad \tilde{H}_j = \pi_x^* H^{[j]} + \pi_t^* T\mathbb{R}, \quad j \geq 2,$$

where π_x (resp., π_t) is the projection of $M \times \mathbb{R}$ onto M (resp., $\mathbb{R}$). This gives rise to a Carnot manifold structure. However, this is not an ECC structure since $\tilde{H}_1$ is not bracket-generating.

F. *Group actions on contact manifolds.* Let (M, H) be an orientable contact manifold and G its group of contactomorphisms, i.e., diffeomorphisms preserving the contact distribution H. The group G is essential (see, e.g., [Ba]). In the framework of noncommutative geometry [Co], such a situation is typically handled by constructing a spectral triple (see [CM]). In the case of an arbitrary group of diffeomorphisms this is done by passing to the total space of the metric bundle, i.e., the $\mathbb{R}_+^*$-subbundle of $T^*M \otimes T^*M$ whose fibers consist of positive-definite symmetric $(0,2)$-tensors $g_{ij}dx^i \otimes dx^j$ (see [CM]). In the setting of contact geometry the relevant metric bundle is the bundle of contact metrics, i.e., metrics of the form,

$$g = g_H \oplus \theta \otimes \theta,$$

where g_H is a positive-definite metric on H and θ is a contact form (i.e., a non-zero section of $(TM/H)^*$). Fixing a contact form θ on M, this bundle can be realized as

$$P = P(H) \oplus \mathbb{R}_+^*\theta \xrightarrow{\pi} M,$$

where $P(H)$ is the metric bundle of H.

It is expected that the differential operators appearing in the construction of the spectral triple associated with the action of contactomorphisms would have an anisotropic notion of degree such that

- Differentiation along the vertical bundle $V = \ker d\pi \subset TP$ has degree 1.
- Differentiation along the lift to P of the contact distribution has degree 2.
- If we denote by T the Reeb vector field of θ, then its lift to P has degree 4.

This leads us to consider the flag $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3, \tilde{H}_4)$ of TP given by

$$\tilde{H}_1 = V, \qquad \tilde{H}_2 = \tilde{H}_3 = V + \pi^*H, \qquad \tilde{H}_4 = TP.$$

This is definitely not a Carnot flag associated with an ECC structure, since the vertical bundle $V = \tilde{H}_1$ is integrable. However, we do get a Carnot flag.

This example can be generalized to more general ECC manifolds. In any case, we obtain a Carnot flag whose first term is the vertical bundle of some fibration, and, hence, is not integrable. Therefore, although we get a Carnot structure, we never get an ECC structure.

The previous two examples above show that, even if the main focus is on ECC manifolds, we may be naturally lead to consider non-ECC Carnot structures. This is the main motivation for considering Carnot manifolds instead of sticking to the setup of ECC manifolds.

3. The Tangent Group Bundle of a Carnot Manifold

In this section, we recall the construction of the tangent Lie algebra bundle and tangent group bundle of a Carnot manifold. This construction goes back to Tanaka [Ta] (see also [AM1, AM2, CS, GV, MM, Me, Mo, VG]). We refer to [ABB, FJ, MM, Ro] for alternative intrinsic descriptions of the tangent Lie algebra bundle and tangent group bundle.

3.1. **The tangent Lie algebra bundle.** The flag $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_r)$ has a natural grading defined as follows. For $w = 1, \ldots, r$, set $\mathfrak{g}_w M = H_w/H_{w-1}$ (with the convention that $H_0 = \{0\}$), and define

$$\mathfrak{g}M := \mathfrak{g}_1 M \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r M. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.1 ([Ta])**.** *The Lie bracket of vector fields induces smooth bilinear bundle maps,*

$$\mathcal{L}_{w_1,w_2} : \mathfrak{g}_{w_1}M \times \mathfrak{g}_{w_2}M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{w_1+w_2}M, \qquad w_1 + w_2 \leq r.$$

More precisely, for all $a \in M$, given any sections X_j, $j = 1, 2$, of $\mathfrak{g}_{w_j}M$ near a, we have

$$[X_1, X_2](a) = \mathcal{L}_{w_1,w_2}\Big(\dot{X}_1(a), \dot{X}_2(a)\Big) \qquad \mod \mathfrak{g}_{w_1+w_2}M(a),$$

where $\dot{X}_j(a)$ is the class of $X_j(a)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_w M(a)$. In particular, the class of $[X_1, X_2](a)$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{w_1+w_2}M(a)$ depends only on the values of $\dot{X}_1(a)$ and $\dot{X}_2(a)$.

Remark 3.2. Using (3.1) it is not difficult to check that the antisymmetry and the Jacobi identity for the bracket of vector fields induce the following properties:

- If $w_1 + w_2 \leq r$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{w_1,w_2}(X_1, X_2) = -\mathcal{L}_{w_2,w_1}(X_2, X_1) \qquad \text{for all } X_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{w_j}M(a). \tag{3.2}$$

- If $w_1 + w_2 + w_3 \leq r$, then, for all $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{w_j}M(a)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{w_1,w_2+w_3}\left(X_1, \mathcal{L}_{w_2,w_3}(a)(X_2, X_3)\right) + \mathcal{L}_{w_2,w_3+w_1}\left(X_2, \mathcal{L}_{w_2,w_3}(a)(X_3, X_1)\right)\\ + \mathcal{L}_{w_3,w_1+w_2}\left(X_3, \mathcal{L}_{w_2,w_3}(a)(X_1, X_2)\right) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.3. Suppose that $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is an H-frame near a point $a \in M$. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let us denote by $\dot{X}_j$ the class of X_j in $\mathfrak{g}_{w_j}M$. Then it follows from Remark 2.19 that, for $w = 1, \ldots, r$, the family $\{\dot{X}_j; w_j = w\}$ is a local frame of $\mathfrak{g}_w M$, and so $(\dot{X}_1, \ldots, \dot{X}_n)$ is a frame of $\mathfrak{g}M$ near a which is compatible with the grading (3.1). Moreover, using (2.8) and (3.1) we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{w_i,w_j}(\dot{X}_i(a), \dot{X}_j(a)) = \sum_{w_k = w_i + w_j} L_{ij}^k(x)\dot{X}_k(a) \qquad \text{for } w_i + w_j \leq r. \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from this that the bilinear bundle maps $\mathcal{L}_{w_i,w_j}$ are smooth.

Definition 3.4. The bilinear bundle map $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g}M \times \mathfrak{g}M \to \mathfrak{g}M$ is defined as follows. For $a \in M$ and $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{w_j}M(a)$, $j = 1, 2$, we set

$$[X_1, X_2](a) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{w_1,w_2}(a)(X_1, X_2) & \text{if } w_1 + w_2 \leq r, \\ 0 & \text{if } w_1 + w_2 > r. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.1 ensures us that $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a smooth bilinear bundle map. Moreover, the properties (3.2)–(3.3) imply that $[\cdot, \cdot]$ induces a Lie algebra bracket on each fiber $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$, $a \in M$. Note also that (3.5) implies that

$$[\mathfrak{g}_w M, \mathfrak{g}_{w'}M] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{w+w'}M \quad \text{if } w + w' \leq r, \tag{3.6}$$

$$[\mathfrak{g}_w M, \mathfrak{g}_{w'}M] = \{0\} \quad \text{if } w + w' > r. \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore, the grading (3.1) is compatible with $[\cdot, \cdot]$. In addition, let $\mathfrak{g}^{[w]}M$, $w = 1, 2, \ldots$, be the lower central series of $\mathfrak{g}M$, where $\mathfrak{g}^{[1]}M = \mathfrak{g}M$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{[w+1]}M = [\mathfrak{g}M, \mathfrak{g}^{[w]}M]$ for $w \geq 1$. Then

$$\mathfrak{g}^{[w]}M \subset \bigoplus_{w' \geq w} \mathfrak{g}_{w'}M \qquad \text{for } w = 1, \ldots, r.$$

Incidentally, $\mathfrak{g}^{[w]}M = \{0\}$ for $w \geq r + 1$. Thus, each Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ is a step r nilpotent Lie algebra, and, hence, is a graded nilpotent Lie algebra since the grading (3.1) is compatible with the Lie bracket. Therefore, we arrive at the following statement.

Proposition 3.5 ([Ta])**.** *$(\mathfrak{g}M, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a smooth bundle of step r graded nilpotent Lie Lie algebras.*

Definition 3.6. The Lie algebra bundle $(\mathfrak{g}M, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is called the *tangent Lie algebra bundle* of (M, H).

Remark 3.7. In [GV, Mo, VG] the tangent Lie algebra bundle $\mathfrak{g}M$ is called the nilpotenization of (M, H).

Remark 3.8. Let $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be an H-frame near a point $a \in M$. As mentioned above, this gives rise to a frame $(\dot{X}_1, \ldots, \dot{X}_n)$ of $\mathfrak{g}M$ near $x = a$, where $\dot{X}_j$ is the class of X_j in $\mathfrak{g}_{w_j}M$. Moroever, it follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that, near $x = a$, we have

$$[\dot{X}_i, \dot{X}_j] = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{w_k = w_i + w_j} L_{ij}^k(x)\dot{X}_l & \text{if } w_i + w_j \leq r, \\ 0 & \text{if } w_i + w_j > r, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

where the functions $L_{ij}^k(x)$ are given by (2.8). Specializing this to $x = a$ provides us with the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ with respect to the basis $(\dot{X}_1(a), \ldots, \dot{X}_n(a))$.

Remark 3.9. Suppose that (M, H) is an ECC manifold. This means that $H_{j+1} = H_j + [H_1, H_j]$ for $j = 1, \ldots, r-1$. It then can be shown that, for every point $a \in M$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{j+1}M(a) = \mathcal{L}_{1,j}\left(\mathfrak{g}_1 M(a), \mathfrak{g}_j M(a)\right) = \left[\mathfrak{g}_1 M(a), \mathfrak{g}_j M(a)\right], \qquad j = 1, \ldots, r-1.$$

Therefore, we see that $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ is a true Carnot algebra in the sense of Definition 2.1.

3.2. **The tangent group bundle.** The nilpotent Lie algebra bundle $\mathfrak{g}M$ is the Lie algebra bundle of a nilpotent Lie group bundle GM defined as follows.

Given $a \in M$, the Lie group structure on $GM(a)$ is obtained by taking the exponential map $\exp_a : \mathfrak{g}M(a) \to GM(a)$ to be the identity and using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula to define the product law on $GM(a)$. More explicitly, for $X \in \mathfrak{g}M(a)$, let $\mathrm{ad}_X : \mathfrak{g}M(a) \to \mathfrak{g}M(a)$ be the adjoint endomorphism of X, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{ad}_X(Y) = [X, Y](a) \qquad \text{for all } Y \in \mathfrak{g}M(a). \tag{3.9}$$

Note that if $X \in \mathfrak{g}_w M(a)$, then ad_X maps $\mathfrak{g}_{w'}M(a)$ to $\mathfrak{g}_{w+w'}M(a)$ if $w + w' \leq r$ and vanishes on $\mathfrak{g}_{w'}M(a)$ if $w + w' > r$. Thus, ad_X is a nilpotent endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$. Let us denote by $\mathrm{Der}\left(\mathfrak{g}M(a)\right)$ the algebra generated by the adjoint endomorphisms ad_X, $X \in \mathfrak{g}M(a)$. Then, any $A \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{g}M(a))$ maps $\mathfrak{g}_w M(a)$ to $\mathfrak{g}_{w+1}M(a)$ for all $w < r$ and vanishes on $\mathfrak{g}_r M(a)$, so that A is a nilpotent endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$. Therefore, given any power series $f(z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k z^k$, $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$, we may define

$$f(A) := \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k A^k = \sum_{0\leq k\leq r} a_k A^k.$$

In addition, we set

$$\phi(z) = (z+1)\frac{\log(1+z)}{z} = 1 - \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k(k+1)} z^k. \tag{3.10}$$

Bearing this in mind, given X and Y in $GM(a)$, the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula gives a formula for the product of X and Y. Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot Y &= X + \left(\int_0^1 \Phi(e^{\mathrm{ad}_X} e^{s\,\mathrm{ad}_Y} - I)ds\right) Y, \\ &= X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}[X, [X, Y]] - \frac{1}{12}[Y, [X, Y]] + \cdots . \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

It follows from (3.11) and the smoothness of the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}M$ that the above formula defines a smooth family of products $GM(a) \times GM(a) \to GM(a)$. As the grading (3.1) satisfies (3.7) we then arrive at the following statement.

Proposition 3.10. *GM is a smooth bundle of step r graded nilpotent Lie groups.*

Definition 3.11. GM is called the tangent group bundle of (M, H).

Let $a \in M$. By construction the exponential map of $GM(a)$ is the identity. This implies that

$$X^{-1} = \exp(-X) = -X \qquad \text{for all } X \in GM(a). \tag{3.12}$$

This also implies that every Lie algebra automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ gives rise to a group automorphism of $GM(a)$. In particular, the grading (3.1) defines a family of anisotropic dilations $\delta_t : x \to t \cdot x$, $t > 0$, on $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ given by

$$t \cdot X = t^w X \qquad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_w M(a). \tag{3.13}$$

As in Section 2, the compatibility of the grading (3.1) with the Lie bracket ensures us that these dilations are Lie algebra automorphisms. Therefore, they are group automorphisms of $GM(a)$, so that we have

$$(t \cdot X) \cdot (t \cdot Y) = t \cdot (X \cdot Y) \qquad \text{for all } X, Y \in GM(a) \text{ and } t > 0.$$

Example 3.12. Suppose that $r = 1$ so that $H_1 = H_r = TM$. In this case, as a Lie algebra bundle, $\mathfrak{g}M = TM$. Therefore, as a Lie group bundle, $GM = TM$.

Example 3.13. Suppose that (M, H) is a Heisenberg manifold, so that H is a hyperplane bundle and we have a Carnot flag (H, TM) of type $(\dim M - 1, \dim M)$. The Lie group structure on the fibers of GM is encoded by the Levi form

$$\mathcal{L} : H \times H \longrightarrow TM/H, \tag{3.14}$$

which is the bilinear map $\mathcal{L}_{w_1,w_2}$ of Lemma 3.1 for $w_1 = w_2 = 1$. Given $a \in M$, the group law on $GM(a) = H(a) \oplus (TM(a)/H(a))$ is such that, for all $X, X' \in H(a)$ and $X_0, Y_0 \in TM(a)/H(a)$, we have

$$(X' + X_0) \cdot (Y' + Y_0) = X' + Y' + X_0 + Y_0 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}(X', Y'). \tag{3.15}$$

If $\dim M = 2m + 1$, then we have a contact structure iff the Levi form $\mathcal{L}$ is non-degenerate at every point. In terms of the tangent group GM this equivalently means that every tangent group $GM(a)$, $a \in M$, is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^{2m+1}$. Likewise, if $\dim M = 2m$, then we have an even contact structure iff every tangent group $GM(a)$, $a \in M$, is isomorphic to the Carnot group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^{2m-1}$.

Remark 3.14. If (M, H) is an ECC manifold, then it follows from Remark 3.9 that, at every point $a \in M$, the tangent group $GM(a)$ is a true Carnot group in the sense of Definition 2.7.

4. Privileged Coordinates for Carnot Manifolds

In this section, we explain how to adapt to the setting of Carnot manifolds the construction of polynomial privileged coordinates of Agrachev-Sarychev [AS] and Bellaïche [Be] for Carnot-Carathéodory manifolds. Our approach follows the approach of [Be], but there are two main differences. The first difference concerns the uniqueness of the construction which we will need later and is not stated in [Be]. The second main difference concerns the definition of the order of a function (see Definition 4.1), since we cannot use the same definition as in [Be] in the setting of general Carnot manifolds (*cf.* Remark 4.2).

We refer to [ABB, Go, Gro, He, MM, Mé1, Mo, RS] for alternative constructions of privileged coordinates.

Throughout the remainder of the paper, we let (M, H) be an n-dimensional Carnot manifold of step r, so that $H = (H_1, \dots, H_r)$ is a flag of subbundles satisfying (2.4). In addition, we let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be the weight sequence of (M, H) (*cf.* Definition 2.16).

In this section, we shall work in local coordinates near a point $a \in M$ around which there is an H-frame $X_1, \dots, X_n$ of TM. In addition, given any finite sequence $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ with values in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we define

$$X_I = X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}.$$

For such a sequence we also set $|I| = k$ and $\langle I \rangle = w_{i_1} + \cdots + w_{i_k}$.

Definition 4.1. Let $f(x)$ be a smooth function defined near $x = a$ and N a non-negative integer.

(1) We say that $f(x)$ has order $\geq N$ at a when $X_I f(a) = 0$ whenever $\langle I \rangle < N$.
(2) We say that $f(x)$ has order N at a when it has order $\geq N$ and there is a sequence $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ with values in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $\langle I \rangle = N$ such that $X_I f(a) \neq 0$.

Remark 4.2. The above definition of the order of a function differs from that of Bellaïche [Be, Definition 4.12], since Bellaïche only considers monomials in vector fields X_i with $w_i = 1$. This definition was used in the case of CC manifolds. We cannot use the same definition for general Carnot manifolds since we would be missing directions that are not obtained as commutators of the weight 1 vector fields X_i, $w_i = 1$. Nevertheless, in the ECC case the two definitions are equivalent. This is seen by using Lemma 4.3 below and a H-frame $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ built out of iterated commutators of the weight 1 vector fields X_i, $w_i = 1$. (This is precisely the type of H-frames considered in [Be]).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f(x)$ be a smooth function near $x = a$. Then its order is independent of the choice of the H-frame $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ near a.*

Proof. Let $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ be another H-frame near a. We note that each vector field Y_i is a section of H_i. Therefore, near $x = a$, we have

$$Y_i = \sum_{w_j \le w_i} c_{ij}(x) X_j,$$

where the coefficients $c_{ij}(x)$ are smooth and there is an integer j with $w_j = w_i$ in such that $c_{ij}(a) \neq 0$. More generally, given any finite sequence $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ with values in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, near $x = a$ we have

$$Y_I = Y_{i_1} \cdots Y_{i_k} = \Big(\sum_{w_{j_1} \le w_{i_1}} c_{i_1 j_1}(x) X_j \Big) \cdots \Big(\sum_{w_{j_k} \le w_{i_1}} c_{ij}(x) X_j \Big) = \sum_{\langle J \rangle \le \langle I \rangle} c_{IJ}(x) X_J, \tag{4.1}$$

where the coefficients $c_{IJ}(x)$ are smooth.

Let N be the order of f with respect to the H-frame $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. If $\langle I \rangle < N$, then (4.1) shows that $Y_I f(a)$ is a linear combination of terms $X_J f(a)$ with $\langle J \rangle \le \langle I \rangle < N$, which are zero. Thus $Y_I f(a) = 0$ whenever $\langle I \rangle < N$. Suppose now that I is such that $\langle I \rangle = N$ and $X_I f(a) \neq 0$. In the same way as in (4.1), near $x = a$, we have

$$X_I = \sum_{\langle J \rangle \le \langle I \rangle} d_{IJ}(x) Y_J,$$

where the coefficients $d_{IJ}(x)$ are smooth. Then, we have

$$0 \neq X_I f(a) = \sum_{\langle J \rangle \le \langle I \rangle} d_{IJ}(a) Y_J f(a) = \sum_{\langle J \rangle = N} d_{IJ}(a) Y_J f(a).$$

Therefore, at least one of the numbers $Y_J f(a)$, $\langle J \rangle = N$, must be non-zero. We then deduce that f also has order N at a with respect to the H-frame $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. This shows that the order of f at a is independent of the choice of the H-frame. The lemma is thus proved. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be smooth functions near $x = a$ of respective orders N and N' at a. Then $f(x)g(x)$ has order $\ge N + N'$ at a.*

Proof. We know that $X_i(fg) = (X_i f)g + f X_i g$. More generally, given any sequence $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, we may write

$$X_I(fg) = X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}(fg) = \sum_{\langle I' \rangle + \langle I'' \rangle = \langle I \rangle} c_{I'J''} (X_{I'} f)(X_{I''} g), \tag{4.2}$$

for some constants c_{IJ} independent of f and g. If $\langle I' \rangle + \langle I'' \rangle < N + N'$, then at least one of the inequalities $\langle I' \rangle < N$ or $\langle I'' \rangle < N'$ holds. In any case the product $(X_{I'} f)(a)(X_{I''} g)(a)$ is zero. Combining this with (4.2) we then see that $X_I(fg)(a) = 0$ whenever $\langle I \rangle < N + N'$. That is, $f(x)g(x)$ has order $\ge N + N'$ at a. The proof is complete. □

Given any multi-order $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we set

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \alpha \rangle = w_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + w_n \alpha_n.$$

In addition, we define

$$X^\alpha := X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

We note that $X^\alpha = X_I$, where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is the unique non-decreasing sequence of length $k = |\alpha|$ where each index i appears with multiplicity α_i. Conversely, if $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ is a non-decreasing sequence, then $X_I = X^\alpha$ for some multi-order α with $|\alpha| = |I|$ and $\langle \alpha \rangle = \langle I \rangle$.

It is convenient to reformulate the definition of the order of a function in terms of the sole monomials X^α. To reach this end we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5 ([NSW, Lemma 2.1]; see also [Be, Lemma 4.12(i)])**.** *Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ be a finite sequence with values in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and set $w = \langle I \rangle$. Then, near $x = a$, we have*

$$X_I = \sum_{\substack{\langle \alpha \rangle \le w \\ |\alpha| \le k}} c_{I\alpha}(x) X^\alpha, \tag{4.3}$$

where the $c_{I\alpha}(x)$ are smooth functions near $x = a$.

Granted this lemma we shall obtain the following characterization of the order of a function.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $f(x)$ be a smooth function defined near $x = a$. Then $f(x)$ has order N at $x = a$ if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $(X^\alpha f)(a) = 0$ *for all multi-orders α such that $\langle\alpha\rangle < N$.*
(ii) $(X^\alpha f)(a) \neq 0$ *for at least one multi-order α with $\langle\alpha\rangle = N$.*

Proof. Suppose that (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Then (ii) implies that $f(x)$ has order $\leq N$ at $x = a$. Moreover, using (i) and Lemma 4.5 shows that $f(x)$ has order $\geq N$ at $x = a$. Thus $f(x)$ has order N at $x = a$.

Conversely, assume that $f(x)$ has order N at $x = a$. It is immediate that (i) holds. Let $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ be a sequence with values in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\langle I\rangle = N$ and $X_I f(a) \neq 0$. By Lemma 4.5, near $x = a$, we have

$$X_I = \sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle\leq\langle I\rangle} c_{I\alpha}(x)X^\alpha = \sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle\leq N} c_{I\alpha}(x)X^\alpha$$

for some smooth coefficients $c_{I\alpha}(x)$. Thus,

$$0 \neq X_I f(a) = \sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle\leq N} c_{I\alpha}(a)X^\alpha f(a) = \sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle=N} c_{I\alpha}(a)X^\alpha f(a).$$

This implies that at least one of the numbers $X^\alpha f(a)$, $\langle\alpha\rangle = N$, is non-zero, i.e., (ii) is satisfied. The proof is complete. □

Remark 4.7. In the ECC case and for the type of H-frame mentioned in Remark 4.2, we recover the characterization of the order of a function provided by Bellaïche [Be, Lemma 4.12(ii)] (see also [Je2, Lemma B.4]).

Definition 4.8. We say that local coordinates $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ centered at a point $a \in M$ are linearly adapted at a to the H-frame $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ when $X_j(0) = \partial_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 4.9. *Given local coordinates $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)$, there is a unique affine change of coordinates $x \to T_a(x)$ which provides us with local coordinates centered at a that are linearly adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \cdots X_n)$.*

Proof. In the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ we may write

$$X_i = \sum_{1\leq j\leq n} b_{ij}(x)\partial_{x_j}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where the coefficients $b_{ij}(x)$ are smooth. Set $B(x) = (b_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. In what follows we shall use the same notation for the point a and its coordinate vector $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ with respect to the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

Let $T(x) = A(x - a)$ be an affine transformation with $T(a) = 0$ and $A = (a_{jk}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Set $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) = T(x)$, i.e., $y_i = \sum_j a_{ij}(x_j - a_j)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ are local coordinates centered at a. In those coordinates, we have

$$X_i = \sum_{1\leq j,k\leq n} b_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} = \sum_{1\leq k\leq n}\Big(\sum_{1\leq j\leq n} b_{ij}(x)a_{kj}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}$ at $y = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{1\leq j\leq n} b_{ij}(x)a_{kj} = \delta_{ik}$. We then see that the local coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ are linearly adapted at a if and only if $B(a)A^t = 1$, i.e., $A = (B(a)^t)^{-1}$. This shows that $T_a(x) = (B(a)^t)^{-1}(x - a)$ is the unique affine isomorphism that produces linearly adapted coordinates centered at a. The proof is complete. □

Definition 4.10. We say that local coordinates $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ centered at a are *privileged coordinates* at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ when the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) These coordinates are linearly adapted at a to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.
(ii) For all $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the coordinate function x_j has order w_j at a.

Remark 4.11. As mentioned in Remark 4.2, in the ECC case our notion of order a function agrees with that of Bellaïche [Be]. Therefore, we see that in the ECC case our definition of privileged coordinates agrees with that of [Be]

Remark 4.12. Our definition of privileged coordinates is different from the more standard definition used in [ABB, Go, Gro, He, MM, Mo]. In the same way as in [Be] in the ECC case, we will see that the two definitions are actually equivalent (see Remark 5.23).

Remark 4.13. If the condition (i) holds, then $X_k(x_j)(a) = \partial_k(x_j) = \delta_{jk}$. Therefore, we see that in this case x_j has order w_j if and only if $X^\alpha(x_j) = 0$ for all multi-orders α such that $\langle\alpha\rangle < w_j$ and $|\alpha| \geq 2$.

In what follows using local coordinates centered at a we may regard the vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ as vector fields defined on a neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 4.14 ([Be, Lemma 4.13])**.** *Let $h(x)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree k. Then*

$$(X^\alpha h)(0) = \begin{cases} \partial_x^\alpha h(0) & \text{if } |\alpha| = k, \\ 0 & \text{if } |\alpha| < k. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.15. In the proof of the above result in [Be, page 40], the summation in Eq. (34) is over all multi-orders $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ such that $\beta \neq \alpha$ and $\beta_i \leq \alpha_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. This should be replaced by the summation over all multi-orders β such that $|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$.

Proposition 4.16 (Compare [Be, Theorem 4.15])**.** *Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be local coordinates centered at a that are linearly adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Then there is a unique change of coordinates $x \to \psi(x)$ such that*

(1) *It provides us with privileged coordinates at a.*
(2) *For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the j-th component $\psi_j(x)$ is of the form,*

$$\psi_j(x) = x_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle < w_j \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} a_{j\alpha} x^\alpha, \qquad a_{j\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Let $x \to \psi(x)$ be a change of coordinates of the form (4.5). Set $y = \psi(x)$ and let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. As pointed out in Remark 4.13, the coordinate $y_j = \psi(x)_j$ has order w_j at a if and only if $X^\alpha(y_j)(a) = 0$ for all multi-orders α such that $\langle\alpha\rangle < w_j$ and $|\alpha| \geq 2$. Let α be such a multi-order. Then by Lemma 4.14 we have

$$\begin{aligned} X^\alpha(y_j)|_{x=0} &= X^\alpha(x_j)|_{x=0} + \sum_{\substack{\langle\beta\rangle < w_j \\ 2 \leq |\beta|}} a_{j\beta}\, X^\alpha(x^\beta)\big|_{x=0} \\ &= X^\alpha(x_j)|_{x=0} + \sum_{\substack{\langle\beta\rangle < w_j \\ 2 \leq |\beta| < |\alpha|}} a_{j\beta}\, X^\alpha(x^\beta)\big|_{x=0} + \alpha! a_{j\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$X^\alpha(y_j)|_{x=0} = 0 \iff \alpha! a_{j\alpha} = -\, X^\alpha(x_j)|_{x=0} - \sum_{\substack{\langle\beta\rangle < w_j \\ 2 \leq |\beta| < |\alpha|}} a_{j\beta}\, X^\alpha(x^\beta)\big|_{x=0}. \tag{4.6}$$

As the right-hand side uniquely determines the coefficients $a_{j\alpha}$, we deduce that there is a unique map $\psi(x)$ of the form (4.5) such that the change of variable $x \to \psi(x)$ provides us with privileged coordinates at a that are linearly adapted to H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. The lemma is thus proved. □

Remark 4.17. The proof above merely reproduces the arguments in [Be]. We wrote down the details for reader's convenience and for recording how the coefficients $a_{j\alpha}$ are obtained (*cf.* Remark 4.21 below). Note also that the uniqueness content is not mentioned in [Be], but this is an immediate consequence of (4.6). This uniqueness result will play an important role at several places in the rest of the paper (see, e.g., Proposition 4.23).

Remark 4.18. When $r = 2$ the map ψ is the identity map, and so the privileged coordinates that we obtain are simply linearly adapted coordinates. In the special case of Heisenberg manifolds, these coordinates are called y-coordinates in [BG].

Remark 4.19. When $r = 3$ we recover the p-coordinates of Cummins [Cu].

Remark 4.20. In the ECC case and for the type of H-frames mentioned in Remark 4.2, we recover the privileged coordinates of Bellaïche [Be].

Remark 4.21. It follows from the above proof that each coefficient $a_{j\alpha}$ in (4.5) is a universal polynomial in the derivatives $X^\alpha(x^\beta)\big|_{x=0}$ with $\langle\beta\rangle \leq w_j$ and $|\beta| \geq 1$. Set $X_j = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{jk}(x)\partial_k$. An induction shows that

$$X^\alpha = \sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq|\alpha|} b_{\alpha\beta}(x)\partial^\beta,$$

where $b_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial^\gamma b_{jk}(x)$ with $|\gamma| \leq |\alpha| - |\beta|$. As $X^\alpha(x^\beta)\big|_{x=0} = \beta! b_{\alpha\beta}(0)$, we then deduce that each coefficient $a_{j\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial^\gamma b_{kl}(0)$ with $|\gamma| \leq |\alpha| - 1$.

Definition 4.22. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be the linearly adapted coordinates provided by the affine map T_a from Lemma 4.9. Then we denote by $\psi_a(x)$ the polynomial diffeomorphism associated with these coordinates that is provided by Proposition 4.16.

We conclude this section with the following uniqueness result.

Proposition 4.23. *The coordinates $y = \psi_a(T_a x)$ are the unique privileged coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ that are given by a change of coordinates of the form $y = \psi(T(x))$, where T is an affine map such that $T(a) = 0$ and $\psi(x)$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism of the form (4.5).*

Proof. Let $x \to \phi(x)$ be a change of coordinates providing us with privileged coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ such that $\phi(x) = \psi(T(x))$, where T is an affine map such that $T(a) = 0$ and $\psi(x)$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism of the form (4.5). As privileged coordinates are linearly adapted coordinates, we see that $\phi_* X_j(0) = \partial_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Note that (4.5) implies that $\psi'(0) = \mathrm{id}$. Thus $\phi_* X_j(0) = \psi'(0) \circ T'(a)\,(X_j(a)) = T'(a)\,(X_j(a)) = T_* X_j(0)$, so that we see that $T_* X_j(0) = \partial_j$. This means that the coordinate change $x \to T(x)$ provides us with coordinates that are linearly adapted at a to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. As $T(x)$ is an affine map, it then follows from Lemma 4.9 that $T(x) = T_a(x)$. Therefore, we see that $\psi(x)$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism of the form (4.5) that transforms the coordinates $y = \psi_a(x)$ into privileged coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. It then follows from the uniqueness contents of Proposition 4.16 that $\psi(x) = \psi_a(x)$, so that $\phi(x) = \psi_a(T_a x)$. This proves the result. □

5. Anisotropic Approximation and Privileged Coordinates

In this section, we give a characterization of privileged coordinates in terms of the anistropic approximation of vector fields defined by the dilations associated with the weight sequence $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$. This will show that the notion of privileged coordinates used in [Go, He] agrees with that of Definition 4.10. Incidentally, this will allow us to recover the results of Montgomery [Mo] and Jean [Je2, Appendix B] that the privileged coordinates constructed in [ABB, Go, Gro, He, MM, Mé1, Mo, RS] are privileged coordinates in the sense of Definition 4.10.

The anistropic approximations of this section were considered by various authors [ABB, BG, Be, Go, Gro, He, Je2, MM, Mé1, Mi, Ro, Mo, RS]. We shall give here a systematic and precise account on this type of approximation. In particular, we will show that the various asymptotic expansions at stake are not just pointwise asymptotics, but they actually hold with respect to the standard C^∞-topologies coming into play.

5.1. **Anisotropic approximation.** As in Section 3, we denote by δ_t, $t > 0$, the (anisotropic) dilations on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\delta_t(x) := t \cdot x := (t^{w_1}x_1, \ldots, t^{w_n}x_n), \qquad x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.1}$$

Definition 5.1. A function $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ is (positively) *homogeneous* of degree w, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, with respect to the dilations (5.1) when

$$f(t \cdot x) = t^w f(x) \qquad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Example 5.2. For any multi-order $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the monomial x^α is homogeneous of degree $\langle \alpha \rangle$.

Remark 5.3. If $f(x)$ is smooth and homogeneous of degree w, then differentiating the equality $f(t \cdot x) = t^\omega f(x)$ shows that $\partial^\alpha f(t \cdot x) = t^{w-\langle \alpha \rangle}\partial^\alpha f(x)$. Thus $\partial^\alpha f(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $w - \langle \alpha \rangle$. If we further assume that $f(x)$ is smooth at $x = 0$ and we choose α so that $\langle \alpha \rangle > w$, then, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\partial^\alpha f(x) = t^{\langle \alpha \rangle - w}\partial^\alpha f(t \cdot x) \longrightarrow 0 \cdot \partial^\alpha f(0) = 0.$$

Therefore, all the partial derivatives $\partial^\alpha f$ with $\langle \alpha \rangle > w$ are identically zero. Combining this with the inequality $\langle \alpha \rangle < r|\alpha|$ shows that $\partial^\alpha f(x)$ is identically zero as soon as $|\alpha|$ is large enough. It then follows that $f(x)$ is a polynomial function and w must be a non-negative integer.

In what follows we let U be an open neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We mention the following version of Taylor's formula.

Lemma 5.4 (Anisotropic Taylor Formula)**.** *Let $f \in C^\infty(U)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are functions $R_{N\alpha} \in C^\infty(U)$, $|\alpha| \leq N \leq \langle \alpha \rangle$, such that*

$$f(x) = \sum_{\langle \alpha \rangle < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_x^\alpha f(0)x^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N \leq \langle \alpha \rangle} x^\alpha R_{N\alpha}(x). \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. By Taylor's formula there are functions $R_{N\alpha} \in C^\infty(U)$, $|\alpha| = N$, such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_x^\alpha f(0)x^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| = N} x^\alpha R_{N\alpha}(x).$$

Using the inequality $|\alpha| \leq \langle \alpha \rangle$ we may rewrite this as

$$f(x) = \sum_{\langle \alpha \rangle < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_x^\alpha f(0)x^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| < N \leq \langle \alpha \rangle} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial_x^\alpha f(0)x^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| = N \leq \langle \alpha \rangle} x^\alpha R_{N\alpha}(x).$$

Setting $R_{N\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha!}f(0)x^\alpha$ for $|\alpha| < N \leq \langle \alpha \rangle$ then gives an expansion of the form (5.2). The proof is complete. □

Definition 5.5. Let $f \in C^\infty(U)$. We shall say that

(1) f has weight $\geq w$ when $\partial_x^\alpha f(0) = 0$ for all multi-orders $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $\langle \alpha \rangle < w$.
(2) f has weight w when $f(x)$ has weight $\geq w$ and there is a multi-order $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $\langle \alpha \rangle = w$ such that $\partial_x^\alpha f(0) \neq 0$.

In the following we equip $C^\infty(U)$ with its standard locally convex space topology, i.e., the LCS topology defined by the semi-norms,

$$p_{K,\alpha}(f) = \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha f(x)|, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad K \subset U \text{ compact}.$$

Therefore, a sequence $(f_\ell)_\ell \subset C^\infty(U)$ converges to a function f in $C^\infty(U)$ if and only if, for all multi-orders $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the partial derivatives $\partial^\alpha f_\ell$ converge to $\partial^\alpha f$ uniformly on compact subsets of U.

Note that the semi-norm $p_{K,\alpha}(f)$ actually makes sense for any smooth function on an open neighborhood of K. We also observe that a basis of neighborhoods of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is provided by the anisotropic balls,

$$B(\rho) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ \|x\| < \rho\}, \qquad \rho > 0.$$

In particular, there is $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $B(\rho_0) \subset U$. We also note that if K is a compact subset of U, then there is $t_0 > 0$ such that $\delta_t(K)$ is contained in $B(\rho_0)$ for all $t \in [0, t_0]$. Therefore, if $f \in C^\infty(U)$, then the semi-norm $p_{K,\alpha}(f \circ \delta_t)$ makes sense as soon as t is sufficiently small.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $f \in C^\infty(U)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *The function f has weight w.*
(2) *As $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$f(t \cdot x) \simeq \sum_{l \geq w} t^l f^{[l]}(x) \qquad \text{in } C^\infty(U), \tag{5.3}$$

where $f^{[l]}(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree l with $f^{[w]} \neq 0$.

Remark 5.7. The fact that the asymptotic expansion (5.3) holds in $C^\infty(U)$ means that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $N > w$ and for all compact $K \subset U$, we have

$$p_{K,\alpha}\biggl(f \circ \delta_t - \sum_{w \leq l < N} t^l f^{[l]}\biggr) = \mathrm{O}(t^N) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.6. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 5.4 there are functions $R_{N\alpha} \in C^\infty(U)$, $|\alpha| \leq N \leq \langle \alpha \rangle$, such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{\langle \alpha \rangle < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha f(0) x^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N \leq \langle \alpha \rangle} x^\alpha R_{N\alpha}(x).$$

As the monomial x^α is homogeneous of degree $\langle \alpha \rangle$, we get

$$f(t \cdot x) = \sum_{\langle \alpha \rangle < N} t^{\langle \alpha \rangle} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha f(0) x^\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N \leq \langle \alpha \rangle} t^{\langle \alpha \rangle} x^\alpha R_{N\alpha}(t \cdot x).$$

The smoothness of $R_{N\alpha}(x)$ implies that $R_{N\alpha}(t \cdot x)$ is $\mathrm{O}(1)$ in $C^\infty(U)$ as $t \to 0^+$. Therefore, we see that, as $t \to 0^+$ and in $C^\infty(U)$, we have

$$f(t \cdot x) = \sum_{\langle \alpha \rangle < N} t^{\langle \alpha \rangle} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha f(0) x^\alpha + \mathrm{O}(t^N). \tag{5.4}$$

For $l = 0, 1, \ldots$ set $f^{[l]}(x) = \sum_{\langle \alpha \rangle = l} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha f(0) x^\alpha$. Then $f^{[l]}(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree l. Moreover, Eq. (5.4) shows that in $C^\infty(U)$ we have

$$f(t \cdot x) \simeq \sum_{l \geq 0} t^l f^{[l]}(x) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+.$$

This is an asymptotic expansion of the form (5.3) if and only if $f^{[l]} = 0$ for $l < w$ and $f^{[w]} \neq 0$. The latter condition exactly means that $\partial_x^\alpha f(0) = 0$ for $\langle \alpha \rangle < w$ and $\partial_x^\alpha f(0) \neq 0$ for some multi-order α with $\langle \alpha \rangle = w$, i.e., f has weight w. This shows that f has weight w if and only if it admits an asymptotic expansion of the form (5.3). The lemma is thus proved. □

The notion of weight of a function extends to differential operators as follows. Given a differential operator P on U, for $t > 0$ we denote by $\delta_t^* P$ the pullback of P by the dilation δ_t, i.e.,

$$(\delta_t^* P) u(x) = P(u \circ \delta_t^{-1})(t \cdot x) \qquad \text{for all } u \in C^\infty(\delta_t(U)).$$

Definition 5.8. A differential operator P on $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ is *homogeneous* of degree w, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, when

$$\delta_t^* P = t^\omega P \qquad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Example 5.9. For any multi-order α, the differential operator ∂^α is homogeneous of degree $-\langle \alpha \rangle$.

Definition 5.10. Let $P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x) \partial_x^\alpha$ be a differential operator on U. We say that P has weight w, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, when

(1) Each coefficient $a_\alpha(x)$ has weight $\geq w + \langle \alpha \rangle$.
(2) There is one coefficient $a_\alpha(x)$ that has weight $w + \langle \alpha \rangle$.

In what follows, for $m \geq \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathrm{DO}^m(U)$ the space of m-th order differential operators on U. If P is an operator in $\mathrm{DO}^m(U)$, then are unique coefficients $a_\alpha(P)(x) \in C^\infty(U)$, $|\alpha| \leq m$, such that

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(P)(x)\partial^\alpha.$$

We then have linear maps $\mathrm{DO}^m(U) \ni P \to a_\alpha(P) \in C^\infty(U)$. We then equip $\mathrm{DO}^m(U)$ with the coarsest topology with respect to which all these maps are continuous. Therefore, as an LCS $\mathrm{DO}^m(U)$ is naturally isomorphic to $C^\infty(U)^{N(m)}$, where $N(m) = \#\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n;\ |\alpha| \leq m\}$.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $P \in \mathrm{DO}^m(U)$ and assume that not all the coefficients of P vanish at $x = 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *The differential operator P has weight w.*
(2) *As $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$\delta_t^* P \simeq \sum_{l \geq w} t^l P^{[l]} \qquad \text{in } \mathrm{DO}^m(U), \tag{5.5}$$

where $P^{(l)}$ is a homogeneous differential operator of degree l with $P^{[w]} \neq 0$.

Proof. Let us write $P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x)\partial_x^\alpha$, with $a_\alpha \in C^\infty(U)$. Then

$$\delta_t^* P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(t \cdot x)\delta_t^* \partial_x^\alpha = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} t^{-w_j} a_j(t \cdot x)\partial_x^\alpha.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$, $|\alpha| \leq m$, let us denote by $w(\alpha)$ the weight of the function $a_\alpha(x)$. Using Lemma 5.6 we see that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\delta_t^* P \simeq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{l_\alpha \geq w(\alpha)} t^{l_\alpha - w_\alpha} a_\alpha^{[l_\alpha]}(x)\partial_x^\alpha \qquad \text{in } \mathrm{DO}^m(U). \tag{5.6}$$

We note that $a_\alpha^{[l_\alpha]}\partial_x^\alpha$ is a homogeneous differential operator of degree $l_\alpha - w_\alpha$. Therefore, the asymptotic expansion (5.6) is of the form (5.5) if and only if $w(\alpha) - w_\alpha \geq w$ for all multi-orders α with $|\alpha| \leq m$ and there is one such multi-order such that $w(\alpha) - w_\alpha = w$. The latter conditions mean that each coefficient $a_\alpha(x)$ has weight $\geq w + w_j$ and there is equality for at least one of those, that is, the differential operator P has weight w. Therefore, we see that P has weight w if and only if it admits an asymptotic expansion of the form (5.5). The lemma is thus proved. □

Remark 5.12. In the above proof we have $a_\alpha^{[l]}(x) = \sum_{\langle\beta\rangle = l} \frac{x^\beta}{\beta!}\partial^\beta a_\alpha(0)$. Therefore, we see that the weight w of P is given by

$$w = \min\{\langle\beta\rangle - \langle\alpha\rangle;\ \partial^\beta a_\alpha(0) \neq 0\}.$$

Thus,

$$\min\{-\langle\alpha\rangle;\ |\alpha| \leq m\} \leq w \leq \min\{-\langle\alpha\rangle;\ a_\alpha(0) \neq 0\}$$

In particular, the weight w is always a negative integer.

Remark 5.13. The asymptotic expansion (5.5) implies that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{-w}\delta_t^* P = P^{[w]} \qquad \text{in } \mathrm{DO}^m(U).$$

Let us now specialize the above results to vector fields. Let $\mathcal{X}(U)$ be the space of vector fields on U. Regarding it as a (closed) subspace of $\mathrm{DO}^1(U)$ we equip it with the induced topology. Equivalently, a vector field X on U has a unique expression as

$$X = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_j(X)(x)\partial_j, \qquad \text{with } a_j(X) \in C^\infty(U).$$

The topology on $\mathcal{X}(U)$ then is the coarsest topology with respect to which all the linear maps $\mathcal{X}(U) \ni X \to a_j(X) \in C^\infty(U)$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, are continuous. Incidentally, as an LCS $\mathcal{X}(U)$ is naturally isomorphic to $C^\infty(U)^n$.

Specializing Lemma 5.11 to vector fields gives the following statement.

Lemma 5.14. *Let $X \in \mathcal{X}(U)$ be such that $X(0) \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *X has weight w.*
(2) *As $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$\delta_t^* X \simeq \sum_{l \geq w} t^l X^{[l]} \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{X}(U), \tag{5.7}$$

where $X^{[l]}$ is a homogeneous vector field of degree l with $X^{[w]} \neq 0$.

Remark 5.15. The weight of X is given by

$$w = -\max\{w_j;\ a_j(X)(0) \neq 0\}.$$

In particular, this is always a negative integer between $-r$ and -1.

5.2. **Characterization of privileged coordinates.** Let $a \in M$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ local coordinates centered at a. We denote by U the range of these local coordinates and by V their domain. Note that U is an open neighborhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We shall further assume there is an H-frame over V.

Using the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ we can regard any function (resp., vector field, differential operator) on V as a function (resp., vector field, differential operator) on U. This enables us to define the *weight* of such objects. In fact, as the weight depends only on the germ near $x = 0$, we actually can define the weight for any such object that is defined near $x = a$. However, it should be pointed out that this notion of weight is *extrinsic*, since it depends on the choice of the local coordinates. For instance, it is not preserved by permutation of the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ (unless $r = 1$). For this reason we will refer to this weight as the weight in the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

Definition 5.16. Let X be a vector field on an open neighborhood of a. Let w be its weight in the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Then the vector field $X^{[w]}$ in (5.7) is denoted by $X^{(a)}$ and is called the *model vector field* of X in the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

Remark 5.17. The asymptotic expansion (5.7) implies that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t^{-w} \delta_t^* X = X^{(a)} \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{X}(U). \tag{5.8}$$

Remark 5.18. We can similarly define a notion of model operator for any differential operator on an open neighborhood of a.

As mentioned above, the notion of weight is an *extrinsic* notion. However, as the following shows, when using privileged coordinates this extrinsic notion of weight actually agrees with the *intrinsic* notion of order defined in the previous section.

Lemma 5.19. *Assume that the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are privileged coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Let $f(x)$ be a smooth function on a neighborhood of a. Then its weight in the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ agrees with its order at a.*

Proof. Let w be the weight of f. As its order is an intrinsic notion, we may work in the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Note that as they are privileged coordinates at a, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the coordinate x_j has order w_j. Moreover, the fact that $f(x)$ has weight w implies that $\partial^\beta f(0) = 0$ for $\langle \beta \rangle < w$. Therefore, applying Lemma 5.4 to $f(x)$ and $N = w + 1$ shows there are functions $R_\beta \in C^\infty(U)$, $|\beta| \leq w + 1 \leq \langle \beta \rangle$, such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{\langle \beta \rangle = w} \frac{1}{\beta!} \partial^\beta f(0) x^\beta + \sum_{|\beta| \leq w+1 \leq \langle \beta \rangle} x^\beta R_\beta(x).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.4 that each monomial x^β has order $\langle \beta \rangle$ and each remainder term $x^\beta R_\beta(x)$, $|\beta| \leq w + 1 \leq \langle \beta \rangle$, has order $\geq w + 1$. Therefore, we see that $f(x)$ is a linear combination of functions of order $\geq w$, and hence has order $\geq w$.

As $f(x)$ has weight w, there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $\langle\alpha\rangle = w$ and $\partial^\alpha f(0) \neq 0$ and $\partial^\beta f(0) = 0$ for $|\beta| > |\alpha|$. The fact that $x^\beta R_\beta(x)$, $|\beta| \leq w+1 \leq \langle\beta\rangle$, has order $\geq w+1$, implies that $X^\alpha(x^\beta R_\beta)(0) = 0$. Therefore, using Lemma 4.14 we get

$$X^\alpha f(0) = \sum_{\substack{\langle\beta\rangle = w \\ |\beta|\leq|\alpha|}} \frac{1}{\beta!}\partial^\beta f(0) X^\alpha(x^\beta)(0) = \sum_{\substack{\langle\beta\rangle = w \\ |\beta|=|\alpha|}} \frac{1}{\beta!}\partial^\beta f(0)\partial^\alpha(x^\beta)(0) = \partial^\alpha f(0) \neq 0.$$

Using Proposition 4.6 we then deduce that $f(x)$ has order w. The lemma is thus proved. □

Remark 5.20. In the ECC case the equivalence between order and weight in privileged coordinates is mentioned in [Be, p. 43], but a proof is not provided.

We are now in a position to establish the following characterization of privileged coordinates.

Theorem 5.21. *Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be local coordinates centered at a that are linearly adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *The local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are privileged coordinates at a.*
(ii) *For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the vector field X_j has weight $-w_j$ in the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.*
(iii) *For every multi-order $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the differential operator X^α has weight $-\langle\alpha\rangle$ in the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.*

Moreover, if X_j has weight $-w_j$, then its model vector field in the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is of the form,

$$X_j^{(a)} = \partial_j + \sum_{\substack{w_k - \langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ |\alpha|\geq 1}} b_{jk\alpha} x^\alpha \partial_k, \qquad b_{jk\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. Note that if $X = \sum a_j(x)\partial_j$ is a vector field near the origin, then $X(x_j) = \sum a_k(x)\partial_k(x_j) = a_j(x)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, n$. More generally, if $P = \sum a_\alpha(x)\partial^\alpha$ is a differential operator near the origin such that $P(0) = 0$, then $a_\alpha(x) = P(x^\alpha)$ for $|\alpha| = 1$. Bearing this in mind, assume that $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are privileged coordinates at a. The coefficient of ∂_k of X_j is $X_j(x_k)$ and has order $w_k - w_j$, and hence has weight $w_k - w_j$ by Lemma 5.19. It then follows that X_j has weight $-w_j$. This shows that (i) implies (ii).

Suppose that for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ the vector field X_j has weight $-w_j$. Set $X_j = \sum a_{jk}(x)\partial_k$ with $a_{jk}(x) \in C^\infty(U)$. As the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are linearly adapted at a to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. We have $a_{jk}(0) = \delta_{jk}$ for $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore, the model vector field of X_j is given by

$$X_j^{(a)} = \sum_{w_k - \langle\alpha\rangle = w_j} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial^\alpha a_{jk}(0) x^\alpha \partial_k = \partial_j + \sum_{\substack{w_k - \langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ |\alpha|\geq 1}} \frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial^\alpha a_{jk}(0) x^\alpha \partial_k. \tag{5.10}$$

This proves (5.9). In addition, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and set $m = |\alpha|$. Using (5.8) we deduce that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$t^{\langle\alpha\rangle}\delta_t^* X^\alpha = (t^{w_1}\delta_t^* X_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (t^{w_n}\delta_t^* X_n)^{\alpha_n} \longrightarrow \left(X_1^{(a)}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(X_n^{(a)}\right)^{\alpha_n} \qquad \text{in } \mathrm{DO}^m(U).$$

As (5.10) implies that $(X_1^{(a)})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (X_n^{(a)})^{\alpha_n} \neq 0$, this shows that X^α has weight $-\langle\alpha\rangle$. Thus (ii) implies (iii).

It remains to show that (iii) implies (i). Suppose that, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the differential operator X^α has weight $-\langle\alpha\rangle$. We observe that as X^α is a product of vector fields we have $X^\alpha(0) = 0$. Therefore, by the observation made at the beginning of the proof, the coefficient of ∂_j is equal to $X^\alpha(x_j)$. The fact that X^α has weight $-\langle\alpha\rangle$ then implies that $X^\alpha(x_j)$ has weight $\geq w_j - \langle\alpha\rangle$, and hence vanishes at $x = 0$ if $\langle\alpha\rangle < w_j$. Therefore, the coordinate x_j has order$\geq w_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Using Remark 4.13 we then deduce that the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are privileged coordinates. This shows that (iii) implies (i). The proof is complete. □

Remark 5.22. The characterization of privileged coordinates provided by Theorem 5.21 seems to be new, even in the ECC case.

Remark 5.23. Goodman [Go] and Hermes [He] defined privileged coordinates as linearly adapted local coordinates satisfying (ii). Therefore, Theorem 5.21 shows that such coordinates are privileged coordinates in sense of [Be] and Definition 4.10. In particular, we recover the results of Montgomery [Mo] and Jean [Je2, Appendix B] showing that the privileged coordinates of [ABB, Go, Gro, He, MM, Mé1, Mo, RS] are privileged coordinates in the sense of Definition 4.10.

6. Privileged Coordinates and Extrinsic Tangent Groups

In this section, we recall how the anistropic approximation of vector fields in privileged coordinates described in the previous section leads us to another notion of tangent group at a point. In particular, we will recover the tangent group of Bellaïche [Be] and Gromov [Gro] (see also [ABB, Go, Je2, MM, Mé1, Ro, Mo, RS]). As opposed to the tangent group in the sense of Definition 3.11 this construction is *extrinsic* in the sense that it depends on the choice of privileged coordinates.

In what follows we let $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be an H-frame near a. We shall work in privileged coordinates centered at a and adapted to $(X_1, \ldots., X_n)$. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $X_j^{(a)}$ the model vector field (5.16) in these coordinates.

Definition 6.1. $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ is the subspace of $T\mathbb{R}^n$ spanned by the model vector fields $X_j^{(a)}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

For $w = 1, \ldots, r$, let $\mathfrak{g}_w^{(a)}$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ spanned by the vector fields $X_j^{(a)}$, with $w_j = w$. This provides us with the grading,

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(a)} = \mathfrak{g}_1^{(a)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r^{(a)}. \tag{6.1}$$

Moreover, as $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is an H-frame, it follows from Remark 2.20 that there are smooth functions $L_{ij}^k(x)$, $w_k \leq w_i + w_j$, satisfying (2.8).

Lemma 6.2. *For $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, we have*

$$[X_i^{(a)}, X_j^{(a)}] = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{w_k = w_i + w_j} L_{ij}^k(a) X_k^{(a)} & \text{if } w_i + w_j \leq r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. In $\mathcal{X}(U)$ we have

$$[X_i^{(a)}, X_j^{(a)}] = \lim_{t \to 0} [t^{w_i} \delta_t^* X_i, t^{w_j} \delta_t^* X_j] = \lim_{t \to} t^{w_i + w_j} \delta_t^* [X_i, X_j].$$

Combining this with (2.8) we get

$$[X_i^{(a)}, X_j^{(a)}] = \sum_{w_k \leq w_i + w_j} \lim_{t \to 0} t^{w_i + w_j} \delta_t^* (L_{ij}^k X_k) = \sum_{w_k \leq w_i + w_j} L_{ij}^k(a) \lim_{t \to 0} t^{w_i + w_j} \delta_t^* X_k.$$

Note that $\lim_{t \to 0} t^{w_i + w_j} \delta_t^* X_k = X_k^a$ if $w_k = w_i + w_j$ and $\lim_{t \to 0} t^{w_i + w_j} \delta_t^* X_k = 0$ if $w_k < w_i + w_j$. Therefore, $[X_i^{(a)}, X_j^{(a)}]$ is equal to $\sum_{w_k = w_i + w_j} L_{ij}^k(a) X_k^{(a)}$ if $w_i + w_j \leq r$ and is zero otherwise. The proof is complete. □

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.2 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 6.3. *With respect to the Lie bracket of vector fields and the grading (6.1) the vector space $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ is a graded nilpotent Lie algebra of step r*

In fact, it follows from (3.8) and Lemma 6.2 that the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ have the same structure constants with respect to their respective bases $\{\dot{X}_j(a)\}$ and $\{X_j^{(a)}\}$. Therefore, we arrive at the following statement.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $\xi_a : \mathfrak{g}M(a) \to \mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ be the linear map defined by*

$$\xi_a \left(x_1 \dot{X}_1(a) + \cdots + x_n \dot{X}_n(a) \right) = x_1 X_j^{(a)} + \cdots + x_n X_n^{(a)} \qquad \forall x_j \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.3}$$

Then ξ_a is a graded Lie algebra isomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ onto $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$.

We also observe that (6.2) implies that, for $i,j=1,\dots,n$, the vector field $[X_i^{(a)},X_j^{(a)}]$ is homogeneous of degree $-(w_i+w_j)$. Therefore, for all $t>0$, we have

$$\delta_t^*[X_i^{(a)},X_j^{(a)}]=t^{-(w_i+w_j)}[X_i^{(a)},X_j^{(a)}]=[\delta_t^*X_i^{(a)},\delta_t^*X_j^{(a)}].$$

It then follows that the dilations δ_t^*, $t>0$, induce Lie algebra automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$. These dilations actually define a grading on $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ which agrees with the Carnot grading (6.1). Namely, for $w=1,\dots,r$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_w^{(a)}=\left\{X\in\mathfrak{g}^{(a)};\ \delta_t^*X=t^{-w}X\ \text{for all } t>0\right\}.$$

As $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ is a Lie algebra of vector fields, it is natural to realize it as a Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on a nilpotent Lie group $G^{(a)}$. We realize $G^{(a)}$ as $\mathbb{R}^n$ with exponential map,

$$\exp^{(a)}:\mathfrak{g}^a\ni X\longrightarrow\exp(X),\qquad \exp(X):=\exp(tX)(0)|_{t=1}\,, \tag{6.4}$$

where $t\to\exp(tX)$ is the flow of the vector field $X\in\mathfrak{g}(a)$. The product of $G^{(a)}$ is obtained by using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. Namely, given $x=(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ and $y=(y_1,\dots,y_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ the product $x\cdot y$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}x\cdot y&=\exp\left(X+\left(\int_0^1\Phi(e^{\operatorname{ad}X}e^{s\operatorname{ad}_Y}-I)ds\right)Y\right),\\&=\exp\left(X+Y+\frac{1}{2}[X,Y]+\frac{1}{12}[X,[X,Y]]-\frac{1}{12}[Y,[X,Y]]+\cdots\right),\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where Φ is defined by (3.10) and we have set $X=x_1X_1^{(a)}+\cdots+x_nX_n^{(a)}$ and $Y=y_1X_1^{(a)}+\cdots+y_nX_n^{(a)}$. Note also that $G^{(a)}$ is a graded nilpotent Lie group since $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ is a graded nilpotent Lie algebra.

Definition 6.5. The group $G^{(a)}$ (resp., its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$) is called the extrinsic tangent group (resp., extrinsic tangent Lie algebra) at a with respect to the privileged coordinates $(x_1,\dots,x_n)$.

We observe that, for any $t>0$ and $X\in\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$, we have

$$t^{-1}\cdot\exp(X)=\delta_{t^{-1}}\circ\exp(sX)\circ\delta_t(0)|_{s=1}=\exp(\delta_t^*X). \tag{6.6}$$

As the operators δ_t^*, $t>0$, are Lie algebra automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$, the dilations δ_t, $t>0$, induce group automorphisms of $G^{(a)}$, i.e.,

$$\delta_t(x\cdot y)=\delta_t(x)\cdot\delta_t(y)\qquad\text{for all } x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{6.7}$$

Proposition 6.6. *The group law of $G^{(a)}$ is of the form,*

$$(x\cdot y)_j=x_j+y_j+\sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle+w_k=w_j}b_{kj\alpha}x^\alpha y_k+\sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle+\langle\beta\rangle=w_j\\|\beta|\geq2}}b_{j\alpha\beta}x^\alpha y^\beta,\qquad j=1,\dots,n, \tag{6.8}$$

where the constants $b_{kj\alpha}$ are given by (5.9) and the $b_{j\alpha\beta}$ are some constants.

Proof. The smoothness and the homogeneity (6.7) of the group law of $G^{(a)}$ ensure us that this group law is of the form,

$$(x\cdot y)_j=\sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle+\langle\beta\rangle=w_j}b_{j\alpha\beta}x^\alpha y^\beta,\qquad j=1,\dots,n, \tag{6.9}$$

for some constants $b_{j\alpha\beta}$. In addition, for $j=1,\dots,n$, set $\epsilon_j=(\delta_{jk})\in\mathbb{N}_0^n\subset\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the equalities $x\cdot0=x$ and $0\cdot y=y$ imply that

$$b_{j\epsilon_k0}=b_{j0\epsilon_k}=\delta_{jk}\qquad\text{for } w_k=w_j. \tag{6.10}$$

Bearing this in mind, we know from (5.9) that the vector fields $X_j^{(a)}$ are of the form,

$$X_j^{(a)}=\partial_j+\sum_{\substack{w_k-\langle\alpha\rangle=w_j\\\alpha\neq0}}b_{jk\alpha}\partial_j,\qquad j=1,\dots,n,$$

for some constants $b_{jk\alpha}$. We also know that $X_j^{(a)}$ is the unique left-invariant vector fields on $G^{(a)}$ that agrees with ∂_j at $x = 0$. That is, for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$X_j^{(a)} f(x) = \frac{d}{dt} f\left(x \cdot (t\epsilon_j)\right)\Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{d}{dt}(x \cdot (t\epsilon_j))_k \Big|_{t=0} \partial_k f(x).$$

Using (6.9)–(6.10) we see that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$(x \cdot (t\epsilon_j))_k = x_k + t\delta_{jk} + t \sum_{\alpha + w_j = w_k} b_{k\alpha\epsilon_j} x^\alpha + \mathrm{O}(t^2).$$

It then follows that

$$X_j^{(a)} = \partial_j + \sum_{\alpha + w_j = w_k} b_{k\alpha\epsilon_j} x^\alpha \partial_k. \tag{6.11}$$

Comparing this to (5.9) we deduce that

$$b_{k\alpha\epsilon_j} = b_{jk\alpha} \qquad \text{for } w_k - \langle \alpha \rangle = w_j.$$

Combining this with (6.9)–(6.10) shows that the product law of $G^{(a)}$ is of the form (6.8). The proof is complete. □

7. Many Extrinsic Tangent Groups

In this section, we are going to determine *all* the graded nilpotent Lie groups that appear as extrinsic tangent groups $G^{(a)}$ at a given point $a \in M$. In particular, this will show that the construction of the extrinsic tangent group of the previous section is definitely not canonical. Throughout this section we let $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be an H-frame near a given point $a \in M$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ and $G^{(a)}$ be the extrinsic tangent Lie algebra and tangent group at a with respect to some privileged coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Recall that the model vector fields $X_j^{(a)}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, provide us with a basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ such that

- The relations (6.2) are satisfied for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$.
- For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$X_j^{(a)}(0) = \partial_j \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta_t^* X_j^{(a)} = t^{-w_j} X_j^{(a)} \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{7.1}$$

Here the dilations δ_t, $t > 0$, are given by (5.1).

Definition 7.1. Let G be a graded nilpotent Lie group given by $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a group law compatible with the dilations (5.1). We shall say that G is admissible when its Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields admit a basis satisfying (6.2) and (7.1).

We shall now proceed to show that any admissible graded nilpotent Lie group G is the extrinsic tangent group at a with respect to some suitable privileged coordinates.

Definition 7.2. A map $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is *w-homogeneous* when

$$\phi(t \cdot x) = t \cdot \phi(x) \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t > 0. \tag{7.2}$$

Remark 7.3. Set $\phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \ldots, \phi_n(x))$. The condition (7.2) exactly means that, for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the component $\phi_j(x)$ is homogeneous of degree w_j with respect to the dilations (5.1). In particular, in view of Remark 5.3, we see that if ϕ is smooth and w-homogeneous, then it must be a polynomial map. More precisely, each component each component $\phi_j(x)$ is a linear combination of monomials x^α with $\langle \alpha \rangle = w_j$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let G be an admissible graded nilpotent Lie group and $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ a basis of left-invariant vector fields on G satisfying (6.2) and (7.1). Then there is a w-homogeneous smooth Lie group isomorphism $\phi : G^{(a)} \to G$ such that*

$$\phi_* X_j^{(a)} = Y_j \qquad \textit{for } j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{7.3}$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on G. Let $\xi : \mathfrak{g}^{(a)} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the linear isomorphism defined by

$$\xi(x_1 X_1^{(a)} + \cdots + x_n X_n^{(a)}) = x_1 Y_1 + \cdots + x_n Y_n \qquad \forall x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

As the bases $\{X_j^{(a)}\}$ and $\{Y_j\}$ both satisfy (7.1), we see that

$$\xi(\delta_t^* X) = \delta_t^*(\xi(X)) \qquad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and } X \in \mathfrak{g}^{(a)}. \tag{7.4}$$

Note that the bases $\{X_j^{(a)}\}$ and $\{Y_j\}$ both satisfy (6.2) as well. Therefore, the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}^{(a)}$ with respect to the basis $\{X_j^{(a)}\}$ agree with the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the basis $\{Y_j\}$. This implies that ξ is a Lie algebra isomorphism. We then define a Lie group isomorphism $\phi : G^{(a)} \to G$ by setting

$$\phi = \exp \circ \xi \circ (\exp^{(a)})^{-1}.$$

In particular, ϕ is a smooth diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto itself. Moreover, using (6.6) and (7.4), we see that, for all $t > 0$, we have

$$\phi \circ \delta_t = \exp \circ \xi \circ \delta_{t^{-1}}^* \circ (\exp^{(a)})^{-1} = \exp \circ \delta_{t^{-1}}^* \circ \xi \circ (\exp^{(a)})^{-1} = \delta_t \circ \phi.$$

Therefore, the diffeomorphism ϕ is w-homogeneous.

It remains to check that ϕ satisfies (7.3). Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. As ϕ is a Lie group isomorphism the vector field $\phi_* X_j^{(a)}$ is a left-invariant vector field on G. Furthermore, we have

$$(\exp^{(a)})'(0)(X_j^{(a)}(0)) = \partial_j, \qquad \xi'(0)(X_j^{(a)}(0)) = Y_j(0), \qquad \exp'(0)(Y_j(0)) = \partial_j.$$

Thus,

$$\phi_* X_j^{(a)}(0) = \phi'(0)(X_j^{(a)}(0)) = \phi'(0)(\partial_j) = \partial_j.$$

Therefore, the vector field $\phi_* X_j^{(a)}$ is a left-invariant vector field on G and agrees with ∂_j at $x = 0$. As Y_j too satisfy these properties, we deduce that $\phi_* X_j^{(a)} = Y_j$. This proves (7.3) and completes the proof. □

We have the following characterization of admissible graded nilpotent Lie groups.

Proposition 7.5. *Let G be $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the dilations (5.1) and a compatible nilpotent Lie group law. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *G is admissible.*
(ii) *There exists a smooth w-homogeneous Lie group isomorphism $\phi : G^{(a)} \to G$ such that $\phi'(0) = \mathrm{id}$.*

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on G. Suppose that G is admissible and let $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ be a basis of left-invariant vector fields satisfying (6.2) and (7.1). By Lemma 7.4 there is a smooth w-homogeneous Lie group isomorphism $\phi : G^{(a)} \to G$ such that $\phi_* X_j^{(a)} = Y_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. As $X_j^{(a)}(0) = Y_j(0) = \partial_j$ we see that, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ we have $\phi'(0)\partial_j = [\phi_* X_j^{(a)}](0) = Y_j(0) = \partial_j$, and so $\phi'(0) = \mathrm{id}$. This shows that (i) implies (ii).

Conversely, suppose there is a smooth w-homogeneous Lie group isomorphism $\phi : G^{(a)} \to G$ such that $\phi'(0) = \mathrm{id}$. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, set $Y_j = \phi_* X_j^{(a)}$. As ϕ is a Lie group isomorphism $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying (6.2). Moreover, the w-homogeneity of ϕ ensures us that Y_j is homogeneous of degree $-w_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. In addition, as $\phi'(0) = \mathrm{id}$ we have $Y_j(0) = \phi'(0)[X_j(0)] = X_j(0) = \partial_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus the basis $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ satisfies (7.1) as well. It then follows that G is admissible. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 7.6. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a w-homogeneous smooth diffeomorphism such that*

$$\phi_* X_j^{(a)} = X_j^{(a)} \qquad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{7.5}$$

Then ϕ is the identity map.

Proof. We note that the w-homogeneity and smoothness of ϕ imply that $\phi(0) = 0$. Therefore, in order to prove that $\phi = \mathrm{id}$ we only have to show that

$$\partial_j \phi_k(x) = \delta_{jk} \qquad \text{for } j,k = 1,\dots,n. \tag{7.6}$$

We also observe that (7.5) means that

$$\phi'(x)[X_j^{(a)}(x)] = X_j^{(a)}(\phi(x)) \qquad \text{for } j = 1,\dots,n. \tag{7.7}$$

In particular, for $x = 0$ we get

$$\phi'(0)[X_j^{(a)}(0)] = \phi'(0)[\partial_j] = X_j^{(a)}(0) = \partial_j.$$

This shows that $\phi'(0) = \mathrm{id}$. Combining this with the w-homogeneity of ϕ we deduce that $\phi(x)$ is of the form,

$$\phi_k(x) = x_k + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle = w_k \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} c_{k\alpha} x^\alpha, \qquad k = 1,\dots,n,$$

for some constants $c_{k\alpha}$. In particular, we see that the component $\phi_k(x)$ does not depend on the variables x_j with $w_j \geq w_k$ and $j \neq k$ and is linear with slope 1 with respect to x_k. Thus,

$$\partial_j \phi_k(x) = \delta_{jk} \qquad \text{for } w_j \geq w_k.$$

This proves that (7.6) holds for $w_k - w_j \leq 0$.

As (5.9) shows, the vector fields $X_j^{(a)}$, $j = 1,\dots,n$, are of the form,

$$X_j^{(a)} = \partial_j + \sum_{w_k > w_j} b_{kj}(x)\partial_k,$$

where $b_{jk}(x)$ is a linear combination of monomials x^α with $\langle\alpha\rangle = w_k - w_j$. In particular, we see that $b_{jk}(x)$ does not depend on the variables x_l with $w_l > w_k - w_j$. In addition, in terms of the coefficients $b_{jk}(x)$ the equalities (7.7) imply that

$$\partial_j \phi_k(x) + \sum_{w_j < w_l \leq k} b_{jl}(x)\partial_l \phi_k(x) = b_{jk}(\phi(x)) \qquad \text{for } w_k > w_j. \tag{7.8}$$

We shall now proceed to prove (7.6) by induction on $w_k - w_j$. We already know that (7.6) holds when $w_k - w_j < 0$. Assume that (7.6) holds for $w_k - w_j < m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We remark that if $w_k \leq m$, then, for all $j = 1,\dots,n$, we have $w_k - w_j \leq m - 1 < m$, and so $\partial_j \phi_k(x) = \delta_{jk}$. As $\phi_k(0) = 0$, we then deduce that

$$\phi_k(x) = x_k \qquad \text{for } w_k \leq m. \tag{7.9}$$

Let j and k be positive integers $\leq n$ such that $w_j < w_k \leq w_j + m$. Then (7.8) gives

$$\partial_k \phi_j(x) = b_{jk}\left(\phi(x)\right) - \sum_{w_j < w_l \leq k} b_{jl}(x)\partial_l \phi_k(x).$$

If $w_j < w_l \leq w_k$, then $w_k - w_l < w_k - w_j \leq m$, and so $\partial_l \phi_k(x) = \delta_{lk}$. Moreover, as mentioned above, the coefficient $b_{jk}(x)$ depends only on the variables x_p with $w_p \leq w_k - w_j \leq m$. As (7.9) ensures us that $\phi_p(x) = x_p$ for $w_p \leq m$, we deduce that $b_{jk}(\phi(x)) = b_{jk}(x)$. It then follows that

$$\partial_k \phi_j(x) = b_{jk}\left(\phi(x)\right) - \sum_{w_j < w_l \leq k} b_{jl}(x)\delta_{lk} = b_{jk}(x) - b_{jk}(x) = 0.$$

This shows that if (7.6) holds for $w_k - w_j < m$, then it holds for $w_k - w_j \leq m$. As it holds for $w_k - w_j < 0$, we deduce that it holds for all $j,k = 1,\dots,n$. As mentioned above this shows that ϕ is the identity map. The proof is complete. □

We shall now combine Lemma 7.4 and Lemma 7.6 to get the following result.

Lemma 7.7. *Let G be an admissible graded nilpotent Lie group and $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ a basis of left-invariant vector fields on G satisfying (6.2) and (7.1). Then there is a unique w-homogeneous smooth diffeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$\phi_* X_j^{(a)} = Y_j \qquad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{7.10}$$

Furthermore, this diffeomorphism is a Lie group isomorphism from $G^{(a)}$ onto G.

Proof. The existence of such a diffeomorphism ϕ is ensured by Lemma 7.4. Suppose that ψ is another such diffeomorphism. Then the transition map $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi$ is a w-homogeneous smooth diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$(\phi^{-1} \circ \psi)_* X_j^{(a)} = \phi^*(\psi_* X_j^{(a)}) = \phi^* Y_j = X_j^{(a)}.$$

It then follows from Lemma 7.6 that $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi = \mathrm{id}$, i.e., $\psi = \phi$. Therefore, we see that ϕ is the unique w-homogeneous smooth diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying (7.10). The proof is complete. □

Remark 7.8. The w-homogeneity and the smoothness of $\phi(x)$ imply that its components $\phi_k(x)$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, must be the form,

$$\phi_k(x) = \sum_{\langle \alpha \rangle = w_k} c_{k\alpha} x^\alpha, \qquad c_{k\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In addition, using (7.10) we get

$$\phi'(0)[\partial_j] = \phi'(0)[X_j^{(a)}(0)] = Y_j(0) = \partial_j.$$

Therefore, we see that $\phi'(0) = \mathrm{id}$, and so $\phi(x)$ is of the form,

$$\phi_k(x) = x_k + \sum_{\substack{\langle \alpha \rangle = w_k \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} c_{k\alpha} x^\alpha, \qquad k = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{7.11}$$

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 7.9. *Suppose that G is an admissible graded nilpotent Lie group. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the w-homogeneous smooth diffeomorphism provided by Lemma 7.7. Then the change of variable $x \to \phi(x)$ provides us with privileged coordinates at the point a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ with respect to which the extrinsic tangent group is G.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on G, and let $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying (6.2) and (7.1). As mentioned in the proof of Proposition 7.5, the equalities (7.11) imply that $\phi'(0) = \mathrm{id}$. Therefore, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\phi_* X_j(0) = \phi'(0)[X_j(0)] = X_j(0) = \partial_j.$$

This shows that the local coordinates $x' = \phi(x)$ are linearly adapted at a to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Let us denote by U the range of the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and set $U' = \phi(U)$. Using the w-homogeneity of ϕ we see that, as $t \to 0^+$ and in $\mathcal{X}(U')$, we have

$$t^{w_j} \delta_t^* [\phi_* X_j] = \phi_* [t^{w_j} \delta_t^* X_j] = \phi_* X_j^{(a)} + \mathrm{O}(t) = Y_j + \mathrm{O}(t).$$

Using Theorem 5.21 we then deduce that the local coordinates $x' = \phi(x)$ are privileged coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Moreover, the associated extrinsic tangent Lie algebra is spanned by the vector fields Y_j, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and hence agrees with $\mathfrak{g}$. It then follows that the extrinsic tangent group in these new privileged coordinates is precisely G. The proof is complete. □

It is worth illustrating Theorem 7.9 in the special case of a contact structure (M^{2m+1}, H). In this case, the tangent group bundle GM is a fiber bundle with fibers isomorphic to the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^{2m+1}$, so that we may assume that $GM(a) = \mathbb{H}^{2m+1}$. We define $\mathbb{H}^{2m+1}$ as $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ equipped with the group law given by

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_{2m}, t) \cdot (x'_1, \ldots, x'_{2m}, t') = \left(x_1 + y_1, \ldots, x_{2m} + y_{2m}, t + t' + \frac{1}{2} L(x, x') \right), \tag{7.12}$$

where we have set $L(x,x') = \sum_{j=1}^m (x_j x'_{m+j} - x_{m+j}x'_j)$. A basis of its Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields is given by

$$T = \partial_t, \quad X_j = \partial_{x_j} - \frac{1}{2}x_{n+j}\partial_{x_0}, \quad X_{m+j} = \partial_{x_{n+j}} + \frac{1}{2}x_{n+j}\partial_{x_0}, \quad j = 1,\dots,m. \tag{7.13}$$

These vector fields are homogeneous with respect to the dilations,

$$\delta_\lambda(x) = (\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_{2m}, \lambda^2 t), \qquad t > 0. \tag{7.14}$$

Let $b = (b_{jk})$ be a real symmetric $2m \times 2m$-matrix, and denote by $\mathfrak{h}_b$ the subspace of $T\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ spanned by the vector fields,

$$T = \partial_t, \quad Y_j = X_j + \sum_{1 \le k \le 2m} b_{jk} x_k \partial_t, \quad j = 1,\dots,2m.$$

We note that Y_j agrees with $X_j(0) = \partial_j$ at $x = 0$ and is homogeneous with respect to the dilations (7.14). We also observe that T commutes with the vector fields Y_j. Moreover, as the matrix (b_{jk}) is symmetric, for $j,k = 1,\dots,2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[Y_j, Y_k] &= [X_j, X_k] + [\partial_{x_j}, b_{kj}x_j\partial_{x_0}] + [b_{jk}x_k\partial_{x_0}, \partial_{x_k}] \\
&= [X_j, X_k] + b_{jk}([\partial_{x_j}, x_j] + [x_k, \partial_{x_k}])\partial_{x_0} \\
&= [X_j, X_k].
\end{aligned}$$

It then follows that the basis $\{Y_j\}$ satisfy (6.2) and (7.1). We also see that $\mathfrak{h}_b$ is a Carnot algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}^{2m+1}$. Exponentiating $\mathfrak{h}_b$ we thus obtain a whole family of extrinsic tangent groups parametrized by the space of real symmetric $2m \times 2m$ matrices. In particular, this provides us with an *uncountable* family of extrinsic tangent groups.

8. Carnot Coordinates

In this section, we shall refine the construction of privileged coordinates of Section 7 to get a system of privileged coordinates with respect to which the *extrinsic* tangent group $G^{(a)}$ is naturally identified with the *intrinsic* tangent group $GM(a)$. As a result, this will remedy the lack of canonicalness of the construction of the extrinsic tangent groups $G^{(a)}$. We shall call such coordinates *Carnot coordinates*.

Throughout this section we let $(X_1,\dots,X_n)$ be an H-frame near a given point $a \in M$.

8.1. **Coordinate description of $GM(a)$.** As $(X_1,\dots,X_n)$ is an H-frame near a, we know by Remark 2.20 that, near $x = a$, there are smooth functions $L_{ij}^k(x)$ satisfying (2.8). Moreover, the H-frame gives rise to a basis $(\dot X_1(a),\dots,\dot X_n(a))$ of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$. By Remark 3.8 this basis satisfy the relations (6.2). In addition, the basis defines a global coordinate system $(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ on $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ and $GM(a)$. In those coordinates the dilations (3.13) are given by

$$\delta_t(x_1,\dots,x_n) = (t^{w_1}x_1,\dots,t^{w_n}x_n), \qquad t > 0.$$

Given elements $X = \sum_{i\le n} x_k \dot X_i(a)$ and $Y = \sum_{i\le n} y_i \dot X_i(a)$ of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$, using Remark 3.8 we get

$$\operatorname{ad}_X Y = \sum_{k,l=1}^n x_k y_l [\dot X_k(a), \dot X_l(a)] = \sum_{k,l=1}^n \sum_{w_j = w_k + w_l} x_k y_l L_{kl}^j(a) \dot X_j(a).$$

Therefore, the matrix $A_a(x) = (A_a(x)_{jl})_{1\le j,l\le n}$ of ad_X with respect to the basis $(\dot X_1(a),\dots,\dot X_n(a))$ is given by

$$A_a(x)_{jl} = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{w_k = w_j - w_l} L_{kl}^j(a)x_k & \text{if } w_l < w_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $A_a(x)$ is a lower-triangular matrix. It then follows that in the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ the product of $GM(a)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= x + \left(\int_0^1 \Phi \left(e^{A_a(x)} e^{sA_a(y)} - I \right) ds \right) y \\ &= x + y + \frac{1}{2} A_a(x) y + \frac{1}{12} A_a(x)^2 y - \frac{1}{12} A_a(y) A_a(x) y + \cdots . \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

When $w_j = 1$ Eq. (8.1) gives

$$(x \cdot y)_j = x_j + y_j.$$

When $w_j = 2$ we obtain

$$(x \cdot y)_j = x_j + y_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_l A_a(x)_{jl} y_l = x_j + y_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w_k + w_l = 2} L_{kl}^j(a) x_k y_l.$$

More generally, we have

$$(x \cdot y)_j = x_j + y_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w_k + w_l = w_j} L_{kl}^j(a) x_k y_l + \sum_{\substack{\langle \alpha \rangle + \langle \beta \rangle = w_j \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 3}} B_{\alpha\beta}^j \left(L(a) \right) x^\alpha y^\beta, \tag{8.2}$$

where the coefficients $B_{\alpha\beta}^j(L(a))$ are universal polynomials in the structure constants $L_{kl}^p(a)$. In particular, they only depend on the values at $x = a$ of the coefficients $L_{kl}^p(x)$ given by (2.8). In addition, it follows from (3.12) that with respect to the group law (8.2) we have

$$x^{-1} = -x \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Identifying $GM(a)$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the group law (8.2), for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ let X_j^a be the left-invariant vector field that agrees with ∂_j at $x = 0$. Using (6.11) and (8.2) we get

$$X_j^a = \partial_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w_j + w_l = w_k} L_{lj}^k(a) x_l \partial_k + \sum_{\substack{\langle \alpha \rangle + w_j = w_k \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} B_{\alpha \epsilon_j}^k \left(L(a) \right) x^\alpha \partial_k, \tag{8.3}$$

where $\epsilon_j = (\delta_{jk})_{1 \leq k \leq n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. In particular, we see that the family $(X_1^a, \ldots, X_n^a)$ satisfies (7.1). Using this formula we also get

$$\left[X_i^a, X_j^a \right]_{|x=0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w_i + w_j = w_k} L_{ij}^k(a) \partial_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{w_i + w_j = w_k} L_{ji}^k(a) \partial_k = \sum_{w_i + w_j = w_k} L_{ij}^k(a) \partial_k.$$

Using left-invariance we then deduce that $X_1^a, \ldots, X_n^a$ satisfy the relations (6.2). This can also be seen by using the identification of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ with the Lie algebra generated by the vector fields $X_1^a, \ldots, X_n^a$. In any case, we see that the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ identify $GM(a)$ with a graded nilpotent Lie group which is admissible in the sense of Definition 7.1.

8.2. **Construction of Carnot coordinates.** In what follows, we shall identify $GM(a)$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the group law (8.2). As mentioned above we obtain this way an admissible graded nilpotent Lie group. Therefore, in view of Theorem 7.9 it makes sense to introduce the following definition.

Definition 8.1. We say that privileged coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ are *Carnot coordinates* when, under the above identifications, the extrinsic tangent group $G^{(a)}$ agrees with $GM(a)$.

The following result provides us with a useful and simple characterization of Carnot coordinates.

Proposition 8.2. *Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be local coordinates that are linearly adapted at the point a to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, and denote by U their range. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *The local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are Carnot coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.*

(2) *For $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and as $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$t^{w_j} \delta_t^* X_j = X_j^a + \mathrm{O}(t) \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{X}(U). \tag{8.4}$$

Proof. Assume that $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are Carnot coordinates. Then, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the vector fields X_j^a and $X_j^{(a)}$ are left-invariant with respect to the group law of $G^{(a)} = GM(a)$ and agree with ∂_j at $x = 0$. Therefore, they agree everywhere and we obtain (8.4).

Conversely, suppose that, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$t^{w_j} \delta_t^* X_j = X_j^a + \mathrm{O}(t) \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{X}(U).$$

This means that, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, in these coordinates the vector field X_j has weight $-w_j$ and model vector field X_j^a. Using Theorem 5.21 we then deduce that $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are privileged coordinates. We also see that $G^{(a)}$ and $GM(a)$ are Lie groups with underlying space $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose Lie algebras of left-invariant vector field are spanned by the vector fields X_j^a. Therefore, these two groups agree, and so $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ are Carnot coordinates. This completes the proof. □

In what follows, we let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be privileged coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ and denote by U their range. In these coordinates we write

$$X_j = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} b_{jk}(x) \partial_{x_k}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where the coefficients $b_{jk}(x)$ are smooth. In addition, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $X_j^{(a)}$ the model vector field of X_j. We then let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unique w-homogeneous smooth diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi_* X_j = X_j^{(a)}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. As it turns out, the map ϕ has a very simple expression.

Lemma 8.3. *For all $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$\phi^{-1}(x) = \exp\left(x_1 X_1^{(a)} + \cdots + x_n X_n^{(a)} \right).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g}^a$ be the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields on $GM(a)$ and denote by exp its exponential map defined as in (6.4). Then it follows from the proof of Lemma 7.4 that

$$\phi = \exp \circ \xi \circ (\exp^{(a)})^{-1},$$

where $\exp^{(a)}$ is the exponential map (6.4) and ξ is the Lie algebra isomorphism,

$$\xi : \mathfrak{g}^{(a)} \ni x_1 X_1^{(a)} + \cdots + x_n X_n^{(a)} \longrightarrow x_1 X_1^a + \cdots + x_n X_n^a \in \mathfrak{g}^a.$$

Moreover, by functoriality the exponential map of $\mathfrak{g}^a$ is the composition of the exponential map of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ with the Lie algebra isomorphism,

$$\mathfrak{g}^a \ni x_1 X_1^a + \cdots + x_n X_n^a \longrightarrow (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathfrak{g}M(a).$$

As the exponential map of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ is the identity map, the above map agrees with the exponential map of $\mathfrak{g}^a$. We then deduce that, for all $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\phi^{-1}(x) = \exp^{(a)} \circ \xi^{-1} \left(x_1 X_1^a + \cdots + x_n X_n^a \right) = \exp\left(x_1 X_1^{(a)} + \cdots + x_n X_n^{(a)} \right).$$

The lemma is thus proved. □

The following result shows how to modify the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ to get Carnot coordinates.

Theorem 8.4. *The change of variable $x \to \phi(x)$ is the unique w-homogeneous change of variable that provides us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.*

Proof. It immediately follows from Theorem 7.9 that the change of variables $x \to \phi(x)$ provides us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Suppose that $x \to \tilde{\phi}(x)$ is another w-homogeneous change of variables that provides us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. Let us denote by U the range of the coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and set $\tilde{U} = \tilde{\phi}(U)$.

Let $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$. Using the w-homogeneity of $\tilde{\phi}$ and Proposition 8.2, we see that, as $t \to 0^+$ and in $\mathcal{X}(\tilde{U})$, we have

$$\tilde{\phi}_* X_j^{(a)} = \lim_{t\to 0^+} \tilde{\phi}_*[t^{w_j}\delta_t^* X_j] = \lim_{t\to 0^+} t^{w_j}\delta_t^*[\tilde{\phi}_* X_j] = X_j^a.$$

The uniqueness contents of Lemma 7.7 then imply that $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$. Therefore, we see that $x \to \phi(x)$ is the unique w-homogeneous change of variables that provides us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1,\dots,X_n)$. The proof is complete. □

As it turns out, the diffeomorphism $\phi(x) = (\phi_1(x),\dots,\phi_n(x))$ can be determined effectively from the coefficients $b_{jk}(x)$. Namely, we have the following statement.

Proposition 8.5. *For $j = 1,\dots,n$, we have*

$$\phi_j(x) = x_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ |\alpha|\geq 2}} c_{j\alpha} x^\alpha, \tag{8.5}$$

where each coefficient $c_{j\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial^\beta b_{kl}(0)$ with $w_k + \langle\beta\rangle = w_l \leq w_j$ and $\beta \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $\xi = (\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and set $X = \xi_1 X_1^{(a)} + \cdots + \xi_n X_n^{(a)}$. In addition, for $t \geq 0$ set $x(t) = \exp(tX)(0) = (x_1(t),\dots,x_n(t))$, i.e., $x(t)$ is the solution of the initial problem,

$$\dot{x}(t) = X(x(t)), \qquad x(0) = 0.$$

In view of (5.9) we have

$$X_j^{(a)} = \partial_j + \sum_{\substack{w_k - \langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ \alpha\neq 0}} b_{jk\alpha}^{(a)} x^\alpha \partial_k, \qquad \text{where } b_{jk\alpha}^{(a)} = \frac{1}{\alpha!}\partial^\alpha b_{jk}(0).$$

Thus,

$$X = \sum_{1\leq j\leq n} \xi_j \partial_j + \sum_{\substack{w_k - \langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ \alpha\neq 0}} b_{jk\alpha}^{(a)} \xi_j x^\alpha \partial_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \Big(\xi_j + \sum_{\substack{w_k + \langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ \alpha\neq 0}} b_{kj\alpha}^{(a)} \xi_k x^\alpha\Big)\partial_j.$$

We then see that $(x_1(t),\dots,x_n(t))$ is solution of the ODE system,

$$\dot{x}_j(t) = \xi_j + \sum_{\substack{w_k + \langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ \alpha\neq 0}} b_{kj\alpha}^{(a)} \xi_k x(t)^\alpha, \qquad j = 1,\dots,n. \tag{8.6}$$

We observe that if $w_k + \langle\alpha\rangle = w_j$ and $|\alpha| \geq 2$, then $w_k < w_j$ and $x(t)^\alpha$ may only involve the $x_l(t)$ with $w_l < w_j - w_k$. Therefore, using the initial condition $x(0) = 0$, the ODE system (8.6) is triangular, and, hence, it can be solved recursively. We obtain

$$x_j(t) = t\xi_j \qquad \text{when } w_j = 1,$$
$$x_j(t) = t\xi_j + \frac{1}{2}t^2 \sum_{w_k = \langle\alpha\rangle = 1} b_{kj\alpha}^{(a)} \xi_k \xi^\alpha \qquad \text{when } w_j = 2.$$

More generally, an induction on w_j shows that

$$x_j(t) = t\xi_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ |\alpha|\geq 2}} \hat{c}_{j\alpha} t^{|\alpha|}\xi^\alpha, \tag{8.7}$$

where $\hat{c}_{j\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial^\beta b_{kl}(0) = \beta! b_{jk\beta}^{(a)}$ with $w_k + \langle\alpha\rangle = w_l \leq w_j$ and $\beta \neq 0$.

Bearing this in mind, let $x = (x_1,\dots,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and set $\xi = \phi(x)$. By Lemma 8.3 we have

$$x = \phi^{-1}(\xi) = \exp(X) = \exp(tX)(0)|_{t=1} = x(1).$$

Therefore, using (8.7) we obtain

$$x_j = x_j(1) = \phi_j(x) + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ |\alpha|\geq 2}} \hat{c}_{j\alpha}\phi(x)^\alpha, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Thus,

$$\phi_j(x) = x_j - \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ |\alpha|\geq 2}} \hat{c}_{j\alpha}\phi(x)^\alpha, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

This shows that $\phi_j(x)$ is recursively determined from the $\phi_k(x)$ with $w_k < w_j$. An induction on w_j then shows that

$$\hat{x}_j = x_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle = w_j \\ |\alpha|\geq 2}} c_{j\alpha}x^\alpha,$$

where each coefficient $c_{j\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the coefficients $\hat{c}_{k\beta}$ with $\langle\beta\rangle = w_k \leq w_j$, and, hence, is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial^\beta b_{kl}(0)$ with $w_k + \langle\beta\rangle = w_l \leq w_j$ and $\beta \neq 0$. This proves the result. □

8.3. **Normal Carnot coordinates.** Combining Theorem 8.4 with the construction of privileged coordinates explained in Section 4 enables us to obtain an *effective* construction of Carnot coordinates as follows.

Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be local coordinates near the point a. In addition, let T_a be the affine map from Lemma 4.9 and $\psi_a(x)$ the polynomial diffeomorphism given Definition 4.22. Recall that by Proposition 4.23 the change of variables $x \to \psi_a \circ T_a(x)$ provides us with privileged coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $X_j^{(a)}$ the model vector field of X_j in these privileged coordinates.

Definition 8.6. The maps $\phi_a : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon_a : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are defined as follows:

(1) The map ϕ_a is the unique w-homogeneous smooth diffeomorphism such that $(\phi_a)_* X_j^{(a)} = X_j^a$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.
(2) The map ε_a is the composition $\phi_a \circ \psi_a \circ T_a$.

Using Theorem 8.4 we immediately obtain the following result.

Theorem 8.7. *The change of variables $x \to \varepsilon_a(x)$ provides us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.*

Definition 8.8. The local coordinates provided by the change of variables $x \to \varepsilon_a(x)$ are called *normal Carnot coordinates* at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. The map $x \to \varepsilon_a(x)$ is called the *normal Carnot coordinate map*.

Remark 8.9. Set $\hat{\varepsilon}_a = \phi_a \circ \psi_a$. Then $\varepsilon_a(x) = \hat{\varepsilon}_a \circ T_a$. Moreover, it follows from (4.5) and (8.5) that $\hat{\varepsilon}_a(x)$ is of the form,

$$(\hat{\varepsilon}_a)_j(x) = x_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle \leq w_j \\ |\alpha|\geq 2}} c_{j,\alpha}x^\alpha, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where the constants $c_{j,\alpha}$ are as follows:

- For $\langle\alpha\rangle = w_j$ they agree with the constants $c_{j\alpha}$ in (8.5).
- For $\langle\alpha\rangle < w_j$ they are universal polynomials in the constants $c_{k\beta}$ and $a_{l\gamma}$, with $\langle\beta\rangle = w_k < w_j$ and $\langle\gamma\rangle < w_l < w_j$, where the constants $a_{l\gamma}$ are given by (4.5).

We refer to Proposition 11.1 for a more precise description of the constants $c_{j\alpha}$.

8.4. **Examples.** Suppose that $r = 1$ so that $H_1 = H_r = TM$. Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be a tangent frame near a point $a \in M$. As mentioned in Example 3.13, as a Lie algebra bundle $\mathfrak{g}M = TM$ and as a group bundle $GM = TM$. Here $(X_1(a), \dots, X_n(a))$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ and with respect to the coordinates defined by this basis $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ and $GM(a)$ are identified with $\mathbb{R}^n$ as Lie algebra and Lie group, respectively. In particular, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, the left-invariant vector fields X_j^a is just the partial derivative ∂_j.

Given local coordinates near a, set $X_j = \sum b_{jk}(x)\partial_k$ and $B_X(a) = (b_{jk}(a))$. The affine map $T_a x = (B_X(a)^t)^{-1}(x - a)$ provides us with linearly adapted coordinates at a, so that in these coordinates $X_j(0) = \partial_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. The dilations (5.1) are given by the usual scalar multiplication, and so in these coordinates $X_j^{(a)} = X_j(0) = \partial_j = X_j^a$. Therefore, these coordinates are Carnot coordinates at a. It then follows that

$$\varepsilon_a(x) = T_a x = (B_X(a)^t)^{-1}(x - a).$$

Suppose that (M^{d+1}, H) is a Heisenberg manifold in the sense of [BG]. Here $H \subset TM$ is a hyperplane bundle, and the Carnot manifold structure is defined by the Carnot flag (H, TM), which has type $(d, d+1)$. Let $(X_1, \dots, X_d, T)$ be an H-frame near $x = a$, so that $(X_1, ..., X_d)$ is a local frame of H and T is a transverse vector field. Near $x = a$, there are smooth functions $L_{jk}(x)$, $j, k = 1, \dots, d$, such that

$$[X_j, X_k] = L_{jk}(x)T \qquad \text{mod } H.$$

Therefore, the Levi form (3.14) is such that

$$\mathcal{L}(X_j(a), X_k(a)) = L_{jk}(a)\dot{T}(a), \qquad j, k = 1, \dots, d. \tag{8.8}$$

Let us denote by $(x_1, \dots, x_d, t)$ the coordinates on $\mathfrak{g}M(a)$ and $GM(a)$ defined by the basis $(X_1(a), \dots, X_d(a), \dot{T}(a))$. Combining (3.15) and (8.8) shows that, in these coordinates, the group law of $GM(a)$ is given by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d, t) \cdot (x'_1, \dots, x'_d, t') = \left(x_1 + x'_1, \dots, x_d + x'_d, t + t' + \frac{1}{2}L(a)(x, x')\right), \tag{8.9}$$

where we have set $L(a)(x, x') = \sum L_{jk}(a)x_j x'_k$. In particular, the left-invariant vector fields T^a and $X_1^a, \dots, X_d^a$ are given by

$$T^a = \partial_t, \qquad X_j^a = \partial_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{1 \le k \le d} L_{jk}(a)x_k\partial_t, \quad 1 \le j \le d. \tag{8.10}$$

Bearing this in mind, starting with local coordinates near a, the affine change of variable $x \to T_a x$ provides us with local coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_d, t)$ that are linearly adapted at a. Thus, in these coordinates $X_j = \sum b_{jk}\partial_k + \tilde{b}_j\partial_t$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, where the coefficients b_{jk} and $\tilde{b}_j$ are smooth near the origin and $b_{jk}(0) = \delta_{jk}$ and $\tilde{b}_j(0) = 0$. We then have

$$T^{(a)} = \partial_t, \qquad X_j^{(a)} = \partial_j + \sum_{1 \le k \le d} c_{jk}x_k\partial_t, \quad 1 \le j \le d, \tag{8.11}$$

where we have set $c_{jk} = \partial_k\tilde{b}_j(0)$. Here $T^{(a)}$ is homogeneous of degree -2 and $X_1^{(a)}, \dots, X_d^{(a)}$ are homogeneous of degree -1. Therefore, we are in privileged coordinates, and, hence, $\psi_a(x) = x$.

In view of (8.11) we have $[X_j^{(a)}, X_k^{(a)}] = (c_{jk} - c_{kj})T^{(a)}$. Comparing this with (6.2) we then deduce that $c_{jk} - c_{kj} = \frac{1}{2}L_{jk}(a)$. As shown in [BG, Po], the isomorphism $\phi_a : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ such that $(\phi_a)_* T^{(a)} = T^a$ and $(\phi_a)_* X_j^{(a)} = X_j^a$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, is given by

$$\phi_a(x_1, \dots, x_d, t) = \left(x_1, \dots, x_d, t - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{1 \le j,k \le d}(c_{jk} + c_{kj})x_j x_k\right).$$

We then have

$$\varepsilon_a(x) = \phi_a(T_a x).$$

Remark 8.10. The normal Carnot coordinates provided by $\varepsilon_a(x)$ are called y-coordinates of [BG] and Heisenberg coordinates in [Po]. It was observed in [Po] that in these coordinates the intrinsic and extrinsic tangent groups agree.

Assume further that $n = 2m + 1$ and (M, H) is a contact manifold. Near the point a there is a contact 1-form such that $\ker \theta = H$. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2m}, t)$ be Darboux coordinates centered at a such that

$$\theta = dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} (x_{m+j}\partial_j - x_j \partial_{m+j}).$$

This is the standard left-invariant contact form on the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^{2m+1}$. Recall that $\mathbb{H}^{2m+1}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ equipped with the group law (7.12). A left-invariant H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_m, T)$ is given by (7.13). We see from (8.10) that $T^a = T$ and $X_j^a = X_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2m$, so that $GM(a) = \mathbb{H}^{2m+1}$. Moreover, as T is homogeneous of degree -2 and $X_1, \ldots, X_{2m}$ are homogeneous of degree -1, we also see that $T^{(a)} = T = T^a$ and $X_j^{(a)} = X_j = X_j^a$. This shows that $(x_1, \ldots, x_m, t)$ are Carnot coordinates at a. Therefore, we arrive at the following result.

Proposition 8.11. *Suppose that (M, H) is a contact manifold. Given any point $a \in M$, any Darboux coordinates centered at a are Carnot coordinates with respect to the H-frame (7.13).*

Remark 8.12. We refer to Proposition 12.1 for an explicit computation of normal Carnot coordinates for graded nilpotent Lie groups.

9. Anisotropic Approximation of Multi-Valued Maps

In this section, we extend to multi-valued maps the anisotropic approximation of functions described in Section 5. The results of this section will be used in the next sections, where we shall investigate the properties of Carnot coordinates.

In what follows, we let $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ and $(w'_1, \ldots, w'_{n'})$ be weight sequences taking values in $\{1, \ldots, r\}$. When $n' = n$ we shall tacitly assume that the two weight sequences agree. In addition, we will use the same notation for both families of dilations (5.1) associated with these weight sequences. Note that the dilations associated with the former weight sequence are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$, while the latter are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{n'}$.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $\Theta : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^{n'}$ be a smooth map, where $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^p \times [0, \infty)$ for some $p \geq 1$. In addition, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $\partial\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U} \cap (\mathbb{R}^p \times \{0\})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *For every point $(x, 0) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$, we have*

$$t^{-1} \cdot \Theta(x, t) = \mathrm{O}(t^m) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+. \tag{9.1}$$

(ii) *There is a unique smooth map $\tilde{\Theta} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^{n'}$ such that*

$$t^{-1} \cdot \Theta(x, t) = t^m \tilde{\Theta}(x, t) \qquad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Proof. The proof is an elementary application of Taylor's formula with integral remainder, but we shall give the details for sake of completeness and reader's convenience. It is immediate that (ii) implies (i). Moreover, if there is a smooth map $\tilde{\Theta} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying (9.1), then it must be unique and it agrees with $t^{-m}\left[t^{-1} \cdot \Theta(x, t)\right]$ on $\mathcal{U}_0 := \{(x, t) \in \mathcal{U};\ t > 0\}$. Therefore, we only have to prove that if (i) is satisfied, then $t^{-m}\left[t^{-1} \cdot \Theta(x, t)\right]$ has a smooth extension up to $\partial\mathcal{U}$.

Assume that (i) holds and let $(x_0, 0) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$. As $\mathcal{U}$ is open subset of $\mathbb{R}^p \times [0, \infty)$, we can find an open neighborhood V of x_0 in $\mathbb{R}^p$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that $V \times [0, t_0] \subset \mathcal{U}$. For $j = 1, \ldots, n'$, let us denote by $\Theta_j(x, t)$ the j-th component of $\Theta(x, t)$, so that $\Theta(x, t) = (\Theta_1(x, t), \ldots, \Theta_{n'}(x, t))$. Note also that (9.1) implies that, for all $x \in V$ and for $j = 1, \ldots, n'$, we have

$$\Theta_j(x, t) = \mathrm{O}(t^{w'_j + m}) \qquad \text{as } t \to 0^+. \tag{9.2}$$

Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n'\}$ and set $m_j = m + w'_j$. By Taylor's formula, for all $(x, t) \in V \times [0, t_0)$, we have

$$\Theta_j(x, t) = \sum_{\ell < m_j} \frac{1}{\ell!} \partial_t^\ell \Theta_j(x, 0) t^\ell + t^{m_j} \tilde{\Theta}_j(x, t),$$

where we have set $\tilde{\Theta}_j(x,t) := \frac{1}{(m_j-1)!}\int_0^1 (1-s)^{m_j-1}\partial_t^{m_j}\Theta_j(x,ts)ds$. It is immediate that $\tilde{\Theta}_j(x,t)$ is a smooth function on $V\times[0,t_0)$. Moreover, the asymptotics (9.2) imply that $\partial_t^\ell\Theta_j(x,0)=0$ for $\ell<m_j$, and, hence, $\Theta_j(x,t)=t^{m_j}\tilde{\Theta}_j(x,t)$. Therefore, for all $(x,t)\in V\times[0,t_0)$, we have

$$t^{-m}\left[t^{-1}\cdot\Theta(x,t)\right]=\left(t^{-m_1}\Theta_1(x,t),\ldots,t^{-m_{n'}}\Theta_{n'}(x,t)\right)=\left(\tilde{\Theta}_1(x,t),\ldots,\tilde{\Theta}_n(x,t)\right).$$

It then follows that $t^{-m}\left[t^{-1}\cdot\Theta(x,t)\right]$ has a smooth extension to $V\times[0,t_0)$. It thus extends smoothly up to $\partial\mathcal{U}$. The proof is complete. □

Definition 9.2. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Theta(x)=(\Theta_1(x),\ldots,\Theta_{n'}(x))$ a smooth map from an open neighborhood of the origin $0\in\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n'}$. We shall say that $\Theta(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m})$, and write $\Theta(x)=\mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m})$, when, near $x=0$, we have

$$\Theta_j(x)=\mathrm{O}(\|x\|^{w_j+m})\qquad\text{for } j=1,\ldots,n'.$$

We have the following characterizations of $\mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m})$-maps.

Lemma 9.3. *Let $\Theta(x)=(\Theta_1(x),\ldots,\Theta_{n'}(x))$ be a smooth map from U to $\mathbb{R}^{n'}$, where U is an open neighborhood of the origin $0\in\mathbb{R}^n$. In addition, set $\mathcal{U}=\{(x,t)\in U\times[0,\infty);\ t\cdot x\in U\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *The function $\Theta(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m})$ near $x=0$.*
(2) *For $j=1,\ldots,n'$, the component $\Theta_j(x)$ has weight $\geq w'_j+m$.*
(3) *For all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$t^{-1}\cdot\Theta(t\cdot x)=\mathrm{O}(t^m)\qquad\text{as } t\to0^+.$$

(4) *As $t\to0^+$, we have*

$$t^{-1}\cdot\Theta(t\cdot x)=\mathrm{O}(t^m)\qquad\text{in } C^\infty(U,\mathbb{R}^{n'}).$$

(5) *There is a smooth function on $\tilde{\Theta}(x,t)$ from $\mathcal{U}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n'}$ such that*

$$t^{-1}\cdot\Theta(t\cdot x)=t^m\tilde{\Theta}(x,t)\qquad\text{for all } (x,t)\in\mathcal{U}. \tag{9.3}$$

Proof. Let us first establish the equivalence of (3), (4) and (5). It is immediate that (4) implies (3). Moreover, the equivalence of (3) and (5) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 9.1. In addition, if (5) holds, then the smoothness of $\tilde{\Theta}(x,t)$ implies that $\tilde{\Theta}(x,t)$ is $\mathrm{O}(1)$ in $C^\infty(U,\mathbb{R}^{n'})$ as $t\to0^+$, and, hence, $t^{-1}\cdot\Theta(t\cdot x)=t^m\tilde{\Theta}(x,t)$ is $\mathrm{O}(t^m)$ in $C^\infty(U,\mathbb{R}^{n'})$. That is, (4) holds. Therefore the properties (3), (4) and (5) are equivalent.

Assume that $\Theta(x)=\mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m})$ near $x=0$. This means that $\|x\|^{-1}\cdot\Theta(x)=\mathrm{O}(\|x\|^m)$ near $x=0$. Therefore, for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus0$ and as $t\to0^+$, we have

$$t^{-1}\cdot\Theta(t\cdot x)=\|x\|\cdot\left(\|t\cdot x\|^{-1}\cdot\Theta(t\cdot x)\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\|t\cdot x\|^m\right)=\mathrm{O}(t^m).$$

This shows that (1) implies (3).

Let $j\in\{1,\ldots,n'\}$. By Lemma 5.4 there are functions $R_{j\alpha}\in C^\infty(U)$, $|\alpha|\leq w'_j+m\leq\langle\alpha\rangle$, such that

$$\Theta_j(x)=\sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle<w'_j+m}\frac{1}{\alpha!}x^\alpha\partial_x^\alpha\Theta_j(0)+\sum_{|\alpha|\leq w'_j+m\leq\langle\alpha\rangle}x^\alpha R_{j\alpha}(x).$$

Therefore, for all $t>0$, on $\delta_{t^{-1}}(U)$ we have

$$\Theta_j(t\cdot x)=\sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle<w'_j+m}\frac{1}{\alpha!}t^\alpha x^\alpha\partial_x^\alpha\Theta_j(0)+\sum_{|\alpha|\leq w'_j+m\leq\langle\alpha\rangle}t^{\langle\alpha\rangle}x^\alpha R_{j\alpha}(t\cdot x).$$

We then see that $\Theta_j(t\cdot x)=\mathrm{O}(t^{w'_j+m})$ if and only if $\partial_x^\alpha\Theta_j(0)=0$ for $\langle\alpha\rangle<w'_j+m$, i.e., $\Theta_j(x)$ has weight $\geq w'_j+m$. It then follows that (2) and (3) are equivalent.

In order to complete the proof it is enough to show that (5) implies (1). Assume that (5) is satisfied and let $x\in U\setminus0$. Substituting $\|x\|$ for t and $\|x\|^{-1}\cdot x$ for x in (9.3) gives

$$\|x\|^{-1}\cdot\Theta(x)=\|x\|^m\tilde{\Theta}(\|x\|^{-1}\cdot x,\|x\|).$$

The smoothness of $\tilde{\Theta}$ on $\mathcal{U}$ implies that $\tilde{\Theta}(\|x\|^{-1}\cdot x, \|x\|) = \mathrm{O}(1)$ near $x=0$. It then follows that $\|x\|^{-1}\cdot\Theta(x) = \mathrm{O}\left(\|x\|^m\right)$ near $x=0$, i.e., $\Theta(x) = \mathrm{O}_w\left(\|x\|^{w+m}\right)$. This shows that (5) implies (1). The proof is complete. □

Lemma 9.4. *Let $\phi : U_1 \to U_2$ be a smooth diffeomorphism, where U_1 and U_2 are open neighborhoods of the origin $0\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume further that $\phi(0)=0$ and, near $x=0$, we have*

$$\phi(x) = \hat{\phi}(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m}), \tag{9.4}$$

where $\hat{\phi}(x)$ is w-homogeneous smooth map. Then $\hat{\phi}(x)$ is a diffeomorphism and, near $x=0$, we have

$$\phi^{-1}(x) = \hat{\phi}^{-1}(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m}).$$

Proof. We observe that (9.4) implies that $\phi(x)$ and $\hat{\phi}(x)$ have the same differential at the origin. It then follows that $\hat{\phi}(x)$ is a diffeomorphism near the origin. Its w-homogeneity then implies it is a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

For $l=1,2$, set $\mathcal{U}_l = \{(x,t)\in U\times[0,\infty);\ t\cdot x\in U_l\}$. The asymptotics (9.4) and Lemma 9.3 ensure us there is a smooth map $\Theta_1 : \mathcal{U}_1\to\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$t\cdot\phi(t\cdot x) = \hat{\phi}(x) + t^m\Theta_1(x,t) \qquad \text{for all } (x,t)\in\mathcal{U}_1. \tag{9.5}$$

Set $\psi=\phi^{-1}$; this is a smooth diffeomorphism from U_2 onto U_1. In addition, let $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. By Lemma 5.4 there are smooth functions $R_{j\alpha}\in C^\infty(U_2)$, $|\alpha|\le w_j+m\le\langle\alpha\rangle$, such that on U_2 we have

$$\psi_j(x) = \sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle<w_j+m}\frac{1}{\alpha!}x^\alpha\partial^\alpha\psi_j(0) + \sum_{|\alpha|\le w_j+m\le\langle\alpha\rangle} x^\alpha R_{j\alpha}(x). \tag{9.6}$$

For $k=-r,\dots,-w_j-1$ set $\psi_j^{[k]}(x)=0$, and, for $k=-w_j,\dots,m-1$ set

$$\psi_j^{[k]}(x) = \sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle=w_j+k}\frac{1}{\alpha!}x^\alpha\partial^\alpha\psi_j(0).$$

We observe that $t^{-w_j}\psi_j^{[k]}(t\cdot x) = t^k\psi_j^{[k]}(x)$. Thus, using (9.6) we obtain

$$t^{-w_j}\psi_j(t\cdot x) = x_j + \sum_{-r\le k<m} t^k\psi_j^{[k]}(x) + \mathrm{O}(t^m) \quad \text{as } t\to 0^+.$$

Therefore, if we set $\psi^{[k]}(x) = \left(\psi_1^{[k]}(x),\dots,\psi_n^{[k]}(x)\right)$. Then we have

$$t^{-1}\cdot\psi(t\cdot x) = x + \sum_{-r\le k<m} t^k\psi^{[k]}(x) + \mathrm{O}(t^m) \quad \text{as } t\to 0^+.$$

It then follows from Lemma 9.1 that there is a smooth map $\Theta_2 : \mathcal{U}_2\to\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$t^{-1}\cdot\psi(t\cdot x) = x + \sum_{-r\le k<m} t^k\psi^{[k]}(x) + t^m\Theta_2(x,t) \quad \text{for all } (x,t)\in\mathcal{U}_2. \tag{9.7}$$

Let $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $t>0$ be such that $t\cdot x\in U_1$. Note that

$$x = (\delta_t^{-1}\circ\psi\circ\delta_t)\circ(\delta_t^{-1}\circ\phi\circ\delta_t)(x) = t^{-1}\cdot\psi\left[t\cdot\left(t^{-1}\cdot\phi(t\cdot x)\right)\right].$$

Combining this with (9.5) and (9.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{-r\le k<m} t^k\psi^{[k]}\left(t^{-1}\cdot\phi(t\cdot x)\right) + t^m\Theta_2\left(t^{-1}\cdot\phi(t\cdot x),t\right)\\ &= \sum_{-r\le k<m} t^k\psi^{[k]}\left(\hat{\phi}(x)+t^m\Theta_1(x,t)\right) + t^m\Theta_2\left(\hat{\phi}(x)+t^m\Theta_1(x,t),t\right). \end{aligned}$$

As $\psi^{[k]}\left(x+t^m\Theta_1(x,t)\right) = \psi^{[k]}\circ\hat{\phi}(x) + \mathrm{O}(t^m)$ as $t\to0^+$, we deduce that

$$x = \sum_{-r\le k<m} t^k\psi^{[k]}\circ\hat{\phi}(x) + \mathrm{O}(t^m) \qquad \text{as } t\to0^+.$$

It then follows that $\psi^{[0]} \circ \hat{\phi}(x) = x$ and $\psi^{[k]} \circ \hat{\phi}(x)(x) = 0$ for $k = -r, \ldots, m-1$, $k \neq 0$. Combining this with (9.7) we then get

$$t^{-1} \cdot \psi(t \cdot x) = \hat{\phi}^{-1}(x) + t^m \Theta_2(x,t) \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in \mathcal{U}_2.$$

By Lemma 9.3 this shows that $\psi(x) = \hat{\phi}^{-1}(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m})$ near $x = 0$. This proves the lemma. □

Definition 9.5. Let V be an open neighborhood of a in M and $\varepsilon : V \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a smooth map such that $\varepsilon(a) = 0$. In addition, for $j = 1, 2$ let $\phi_j : V \to \mathbb{R}^{n'}$ be a smooth map. Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall write

$$\phi_1(x) = \phi_2(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|\varepsilon(x)\|^{w+m}) \qquad \text{near } x = a \tag{9.8}$$

when near $x = a$ we may write

$$\phi_1(x) = \phi_2(x) + \Theta \circ \varepsilon(x),$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is $\mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m})$ near $x = 0$.

In case ε is a diffeomorphism near $x = a$ we have the following characterization of (9.8).

Lemma 9.6. *Let ε and ϕ_j, $j = 1, 2$, be as in Definition 9.5. Assume further that $\varepsilon(x)$ is a diffeomorphism near $x = a$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $\phi_1(x) = \phi_2(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|\varepsilon(x)\|^{w+m})$ *near* $x = a$.
(ii) $\phi_1 \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(x) = \phi_2 \circ \varepsilon^{-1}(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+m})$ *near* $x = 0$.

Finally, combining Lemma 9.6 with Lemma 9.4 we obtain the following result.

Lemma 9.7. *Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be diffeomorphisms from an open neighborhood of a onto an open neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\phi_2(x) = \phi_1(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|\phi_1(x)\|^{w+m})$ *near* $x = a$.
(ii) $\phi_1(x) = \phi_2(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|\phi_2(x)\|^{w+m})$ *near* $x = a$.

10. Characterization of Carnot Coordinates

As it turns out, Carnot coordinates are by no means unique. In this section, we shall give a simple characterization of these coordinates and show how to obtain *all* such coordinates at a given point. As a consequence, we will obtain a characterization of normal Carnot coordinates.

In what follows, we let $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be an H-frame near a given point $a \in M$.

Keeping in mind the notation from Section 9, we have the following characterization of Carnot coordinates.

Theorem 10.1. *Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be local coordinates near a.*

(1) *A smooth coordinate change $x \to \phi(x)$ near a provide us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ if and only if we have*

$$\phi(x) = \varepsilon_a(x) + \mathrm{O}_w\left(\|\varepsilon_a(x)\|^{w+1}\right). \tag{10.1}$$

(2) *The transformation $\psi \to \psi \circ \varepsilon_a$ gives rise to a one-to-one correspondence between the following:*
   (i) *Smooth maps $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that are $\mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+1})$-perturbations of the identity map.*
   (ii) *Coordinate changes $x \to \phi(x)$ providing us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.*

Proof. In what follows we denote by U the range of the local coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Suppose that the coordinates $y = \phi(x)$ are Carnot coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. In particular, they are privileged coordinates at a, and so, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the coordinate $y_j = \phi_j(x)$ has order w_j. Therefore, by Proposition 4.6 in the privileged coordinates provided by ε_a the component ϕ_j has weight w_j. This means that, near $x = 0$, we have

$$\phi_j \circ \varepsilon_a^{-1}(x) = \sum_{\langle \alpha \rangle = w_j} a_{j\alpha} x^\alpha + \mathrm{O}(\|x\|^{w_j+1}), \qquad a_{j\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{10.2}$$

Set $\hat{\phi}_j(x) = \sum_{\langle\alpha\rangle=w_j} a_{j\alpha}x^\alpha$. Then $\hat{\phi} := (\hat{\phi}_1, \ldots, \hat{\phi}_n)$ is a w-homogeneous local diffeomorphism near the origin, and so it is a diffeomorphism on all $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, the asymptotics (10.2) implies that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\delta_t^{-1} \circ \left[\phi \circ \varepsilon_a^{-1}\right] \circ \delta_t(x) = \hat{\phi}(x) + \mathrm{O}(t) \qquad \text{in } C^\infty\left(\varepsilon_a(U), \mathbb{R}^n\right). \tag{10.3}$$

Using Lemma 9.3 and Lemma 9.4 we see that, as $t \to 0^+$, we also have

$$\delta_t^{-1} \circ \left[\varepsilon_a \circ \phi^{-1}\right] \circ \delta_t(x) = \hat{\phi}^{-1}(x) + \mathrm{O}(t) \qquad \text{in } C^\infty\left(\phi(U), \mathbb{R}^n\right). \tag{10.4}$$

As ε_a and ϕ provides us with Carnot coordinates at a, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$t^{w_j}\delta_t^*\left((\varepsilon_a)_* X_j\right) = X_j^a + \mathrm{O}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad t^{w_j}\delta_t^*\left(\phi_* X_j\right) = X_j^a + \mathrm{O}(t), \tag{10.5}$$

where the asymptotics hold in $\mathcal{X}\left(\varepsilon_a(U)\right)$ and $\mathcal{X}\left(\phi(U)\right)$, respectively. Combining the first asymptotics with (10.3)–(10.4) we deduce that, as $t \to 0^+$ and in $\mathcal{X}\left(\phi(U)\right)$, we also have

$$t^{w_j}\delta_t^*\left(\phi_* X_j\right) = \left(\delta_t^{-1} \circ \left(\phi \circ \varepsilon_a^{-1}\right) \circ \delta_t\right)_* \left[t^{w_j}\delta_t^*\left((\varepsilon_a)_* X_j\right)\right] = \hat{\phi}_* X_j^a + \mathrm{O}(t).$$

Therefore, we see that $\hat{\phi}_* X_j^a = X_j^a$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. It then follows from Lemma 7.4 that $\hat{\phi} = \mathrm{id}$. Combining this with (10.2) shows that $\phi \circ \varepsilon_a^{-1}(x) = x + \mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+1})$ near $x = 0$. Using Lemma 9.6 we then deduce that $\phi(x) = \varepsilon_a(x) + \mathrm{O}_w\left(\|\varepsilon_a(x)\|^{w+1}\right)$ near $x = a$.

Conversely, assume that

$$\phi(x) = \varepsilon_a(x) + \mathrm{O}_w\left(\|\varepsilon_a(x)\|^{w+1}\right) \qquad \text{near } x = a. \tag{10.6}$$

Then $\phi'(a) = \varepsilon_a'(a) = \mathrm{id}$, and so $\phi_* X_j(0) = \left(\varepsilon_a\right)^* X_j(0) = \partial_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus the coordinates $y = \phi(x)$ are linearly adapted at a to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

Combining the asymptotics (10.6) with Lemma 9.6 and Lemma 9.3 shows that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$t^{-1} \cdot \left(\phi \circ \varepsilon_a^{-1}\right)(t \cdot x) = x + \mathrm{O}(t) \qquad \text{in } C^\infty\left(\varepsilon_a(U), \mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

Thanks to Lemma 9.4 the asymptotics (10.6) also implies that $\varepsilon_a(x) = \phi(x) + \mathrm{O}_w\left(\|\phi(x)\|^{w+1}\right)$ near $x = a$. Therefore, as above we see that, as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$t^{-1} \cdot \left(\varepsilon_a \circ \phi^{-1}\right)(t \cdot x) = x + \mathrm{O}(t) \qquad \text{in } C^\infty\left(\phi(U), \mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

In addition, the first asymptotics in (10.5) still holds, since ε_a provides us with Carnot coordinates. Combining all this we deduce that, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and as $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$t^{w_j}\delta_t^*\left(\phi_* X_j\right) = \left(\delta_t^{-1} \circ \left(\phi \circ \varepsilon_a^{-1}\right) \circ \delta_t\right)_* \left[t^{w_j}\delta_t^*\left((\varepsilon_a)_* X_j\right)\right] = t^{w_j}\delta_t^*(\varepsilon_a)_* X_j + \mathrm{O}(t) = X_j^a + \mathrm{O}(t),$$

where the asymptotics hold in $\mathcal{X}\left(\phi(U)\right)$. Using Proposition 8.2 we then deduce that ϕ provides us with Carnot coordinates at a. This proves the first part of the theorem.

Combining the first part with Lemma 9.6 shows that a coordinate change $x \to \phi(x)$ provides us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ if and only if

$$\phi \circ (\varepsilon_a^X)^{-1}(x) = x + \mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+1}) \qquad \text{near } x = 0.$$

That is, the map $x \to \phi(x)$ gives Carnot coordinates at a adapted to $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ if and only if the transition map $\psi(x) = \phi \circ (\varepsilon_a^X)^{-1}(x)$ is a $\mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+1})$-perturbations of the identity map. This proves the second part and completes the proof. □

As an application of Theorem 10.1 we have the following characterization of the normal Carnot coordinates.

Theorem 10.2. *The change of coordinates $x \to \varepsilon_a(x)$ is the unique smooth change of coordinates such that*

(i) *It provides us with Carnot coordinates at a adapted to the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.*
(ii) *It is of the form $x \to (\hat{\varepsilon} \circ T)(x)$, where*
  (a) *T is an affine map such that $T(a) = 0$.*

(b) *$\hat{\varepsilon}$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism whose components $\hat{\varepsilon}_j(x)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, are of the form,*

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_j(x) = x_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle \le w_j \\ |\alpha| \ge 2}} d_{j\alpha} x^\alpha, \qquad d_{j\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{10.7}$$

Proof. Let $x \to \phi(x)$ be a coordinate change satisfying (i)–(ii). Let us write $\phi(x) = (\hat{\phi} \circ T)(x)$, where T is an affine map such that $T(a) = 0$ and $\hat{\phi}$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism of the form (10.7). In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 4.23 it can be shown that $T = T_a$. Moreover, by Remark 8.9 we know that $\varepsilon_a(x) = \hat{\varepsilon}_a \circ T_a(x)$, where $\hat{\varepsilon}_a(x) := \varepsilon_a \circ \psi_a(x)$ is a polynomial diffeomorphism of the form (10.7). Therefore, in order to complete the proof we only need to show that $\hat{\phi} = \hat{\varepsilon}_a$.

Using Theorem 10.1 we see that, near a, we have

$$\hat{\phi}(T_a(x)) = \phi(x) = \varepsilon_a(x) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|\varepsilon_a(x)\|^{w+1}) = \hat{\varepsilon}_a(T_a(x)) + \mathrm{O}_w(\|\hat{\varepsilon}_a(T_a(x))\|^{w+1}).$$

Therefore, near $x = 0$, we have

$$\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_a^{-1}(x) = x + \mathrm{O}_w(\|x\|^{w+1}). \tag{10.8}$$

By Lemma 9.3 this means that $(\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_a^{-1})_j(x) - x_j$ either is 0 or has weight $\ge w_j + 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

With a view toward contradiction, suppose that $(\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_a^{-1})_j(x) - x_j \neq 0$. Then it has weight $\ge w_j + 1$. We also observe that the polynomial diffeomorphisms of the form (10.7) form of a subgroup of the diffeomorphism group of $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, the composition $\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_a^{-1}$ is such a diffeomorphism. This implies that, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, the function $(\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_a^{-1})_j(x) - x_j$ has weight $\le w_j$. This contradicts the fact that the weight is $\ge w_j + 1$. Therefore, we see that $(\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_a^{-1})_j(x) - x_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and, hence, $\hat{\phi}(x) = \hat{\varepsilon}_a(x)$. The proof is complete. □

11. Dependence on the H-frame

In this section, we give a closer look at the dependence of the ε_a-map with respect to the base point a. We observe that, once we are given local coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, the construction of the ε_a-map only involves the coefficients in these local coordinates of the vector fields of the H-frame $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. As different choices of H-frames may produce different normal Carnot coordinate maps, we shall denote by ε_a^X the normal Carnot coordinate map at a associated with the H-frame $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

In order to study the dependence of ε_a^X with respect the H-frame $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ we shall denote by $B_X(x)$ the matrix of the coefficients of this H-frame in the local coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. That is,

$$B_X(x) = (b_{jk}(x)), \quad \text{where } X_j = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} b_{jk}(x) \partial_{x_k}.$$

As it follows from the proof of Lemma 4.9 the affine map $T_a(x)$ is given by

$$T_a(x) = (B_X(a)^t)^{-1}(x - a),$$

where $B_X(a)^t$ is the transpose matrix of $B_X(a)$.

Proposition 11.1. *For $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have*

$$\varepsilon_a^X(x)_j = T_a(x)_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle \le w_j \\ |\alpha| \ge 2}} c_{j\alpha}\left(B_X(a)\right) T_a(x)^\alpha, \tag{11.1}$$

where $T_a(x) = (B_X(a)^t)^{-1}(x - a)$ and $c_{j\alpha}\left(B_X(a)\right)$ is a universal polynomial in the coefficients of the matrices $(B_X(a)^t)^{-1}$ and $\partial_x^\beta B_X(a)$ with $|\beta| \le |\alpha| - 1$.

Proof. The proof is a consequence of a series of reductions. First, in order to distinguish between the various types of coordinates at stake we set

$$\overline{x} = T_a(x), \qquad \tilde{x} = \psi_a(\overline{x}) = \psi_a \circ T_a(x), \qquad \hat{x} = \hat{\varepsilon}_a(\tilde{x}) = \varepsilon_a(x).$$

Thus, $\overline{x}$ (resp., $\tilde{x}$, $\hat{x}$) are the linearly adapted coordinates (resp., privileged coordinates, Carnot coordinates) defined by T_a (resp., $\psi_a \circ T_a$, ε_a). We also set

$$(T_a)_* X_j = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \overline{b}_{jk}(\overline{x}) \partial_{\overline{x}_k} \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi_a \circ T_a)_* X_j = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tilde{b}_{jk}(\tilde{x}) \partial_{\tilde{x}_k}.$$

In other words, the coefficients $\overline{b}_{jk}(\overline{x})$ (resp., $\tilde{b}_{jk}(\tilde{x})$) are the coefficients of the vector field X_j in the coordinates $\overline{x}$ (resp., $\tilde{x}$). We note that as $T_a x = (B_X(a)^t)^{-1}(x-a)$, the coefficients $b_{jk}(x)$ and $\overline{b}_{jk}(\overline{x})$ are related by

$$\overline{b}_{jk}(\overline{x}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} b_{jl} \left(B_X(a)^t (x-a) \right) (B_X(a)^t)^{-1}_{kl}.$$

It then follows that each partial derivative $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha} \overline{b}_{jk}(0)$ is of the form,

$$\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha} \overline{b}_{jk}(0) = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \sum_{|\beta| = \alpha} d_{jl\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} b_{jl}(a) (B_X(a)^t)^{-1}_{kl}, \tag{11.2}$$

where the coefficients $d_{jl\alpha}$ are universal polynomials in the coefficients of the matrix $B_X(a)^t$.

Bearing this in mind, thanks to Remark 8.9 we know that

$$\varepsilon_a(x)_j = T_a(x)_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle \alpha \rangle \leq w_j \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} c_{j\alpha} T_a(x)^{\alpha}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where the coefficients $c_{j,\alpha}$ are as follows:

- For $\langle \alpha \rangle = w_j$ they agree with the coefficients $c_{j\alpha}$ in (8.5).
- For $\langle \alpha \rangle < w_j$ they are universal polynomials in the coefficients $c_{k\beta}$ and $a_{l\gamma}$, with $\langle \beta \rangle = w_k < w_j$ and $\langle \gamma \rangle < w_l < w_j$, where the coefficients $a_{l\gamma}$ are given by (4.5).

Combining this with (11.2) we see that in order to complete the proof it is enough to show that each coefficient $c_{j,\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\beta} \overline{b}_{kl}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$. Furthermore, by Remark 4.21 and Lemma 8.5 we know that

- Each coefficient $a_{j\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\beta} \overline{b}_{kl}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$.
- Each coefficient $c_{j\alpha}$, $\langle \alpha \rangle = w_j$, is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial_{\tilde{x}}^{\beta} \tilde{b}_{kl}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq \langle \beta \rangle < w_j - w_l \leq |\alpha| - 1$.

Therefore, in order to complete the proof we only have to prove that each partial derivative $\partial_{\tilde{x}}^{\alpha} \tilde{b}_{jk}(0)$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\beta} \overline{b}_{lp}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$. To see this we note that the coefficients $\tilde{b}_{jk}(\tilde{x})$ and $\overline{b}_{jk}(\overline{x})$ are related by

$$\tilde{b}_{jk}(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \overline{b}_{jl} \left(\psi_a^{-1}(x) \right) \left(\partial_{\overline{x}_l} \psi_a \right) \left(\psi_a^{-1}(x) \right)_k.$$

We then deduce that each partial derivative $\partial_{\tilde{x}}^{\alpha} \tilde{b}_{jk}(0)$ is a universal polynomial in the following

- The partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\beta} b_{jl}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$.
- The partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\gamma} \psi_a(0)_k$ with $|\gamma| \leq |\alpha| + 1$.
- The partial derivatives $\partial_{\tilde{x}}^{\gamma} \psi_a^{-1}(0)_k$ with $|\gamma| \leq |\alpha|$.

This further reduces the proof to showing that the partial derivative $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha} \psi_a(0)_j$ and $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha} \psi_a^{-1}(0)_j$ are universal polynomials in the partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\beta} \overline{b}_{kl}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$.

Having said this, the partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha} \psi_a(0)_j$ can be computed explicitly. Indeed, we have

$$\psi_a(\overline{x})_j = \overline{x}_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle \alpha \rangle < w_j \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} a_{j\alpha} \overline{x}^{\alpha}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{11.3}$$

Thus $\psi_a'(0) = \mathrm{id}$ and, for $|\alpha| \geq 2$, we have

$$\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha}\psi_a(0)_j = \begin{cases} \alpha! a_{j\alpha} & \text{if } \langle\alpha\rangle < w_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As $a_{j\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\beta}\overline{b}_{kl}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$, we then deduce that the same goes for $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha}\psi_a(0)_j$. In addition, by using (11.3) and arguing by induction it can be shown that $\psi_a^{-1}(\tilde{x})$ is of the form,

$$\psi_a^{-1}(\tilde{x})_j = \tilde{x}_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle < w_j \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} \tilde{a}_{j\alpha}\,(\tilde{x})^{\alpha},$$

where $\tilde{a}_{j\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the coefficients $a_{k\beta}$ with $w_k \leq w_j$ and $|\beta| \leq |\alpha|$. Therefore, it is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\beta}\overline{b}_{kl}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$. By arguing as above we then can show that each partial derivative $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha}\psi_a^{-1}(0)_j$ is a universal polynomial in the partial derivatives $\partial_{\overline{x}}^{\beta}\overline{b}_{kl}(0)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$. This completes the proof. □

As it turns out, for our purpose we will also need a version of Proposition 11.1 for the inverse map $(\varepsilon_a^X)^{-1}(x)$.

Proposition 11.2. *The inverse map $(\varepsilon_a^X)^{-1}(x)$ is of the form,*

$$(\varepsilon_a^X)^{-1}(x) = B_X(a)^t \check{\varepsilon}_a(x) + a,$$

$$\check{\varepsilon}_a(x)_j = x_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle \leq w_j \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} d_{j\alpha}\,(B_X(a))\,x^{\alpha}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{11.4}$$

where $d_{j\alpha}\,(B_X(a))$ is a universal polynomial in the coefficients of the matrices $(B_X(a)^t)^{-1}$ and $\partial_x^{\beta}B_X(a)$ with $\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$.

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 11.1 we know that $\varepsilon_a^X = \hat{\varepsilon}_a \circ T_a(x)$, where $T_a(x) = (B_X(a)^t)^{-1}(x-a)$ and

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_a(x)_j = x_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle \leq w_j \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} c_{j\alpha}\,(B_X(a))\,x^{\alpha}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{11.5}$$

where $c_{j\alpha}\,(B_X(a))$ is a universal polynomial in the coefficients of the matrices $(B_X(a)^t)^{-1}$ and $\partial_x^{\beta}B_X(a)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$. Set $\check{\varepsilon}_a = (\hat{\varepsilon}_a)^{-1}$. Then we have

$$(\varepsilon_a^X)^{-1}(x) = T_a^{-1} \circ \check{\varepsilon}_a(x) = B_X(a)^t \check{\varepsilon}_a(x) + a.$$

Note that (11.5) shows that $\hat{\varepsilon}_a(x)_j - x_j$ vanishes identically for $w_j = 1$ and is a polynomial in the variables x_k with $w_k < w_j$ for $w_j \geq 2$. Therefore, a simple induction shows that, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\check{\varepsilon}_a(x)_j = x_j + \sum_{\substack{\langle\alpha\rangle \leq w_j \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} d_{j\alpha} x^{\alpha},$$

where $d_{j\alpha}$ is a universal polynomial in the $c_{k\beta}\,(B_X(a))$ with $\langle\beta\rangle \leq w_k \leq w_j$, and hence is a universal polynomial in the coefficients of the matrices $(B_X(a)^t)^{-1}$ and $\partial_x^{\beta}B_X(a)$ with $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$. The result is thus proved. □

As immediate consequences of Proposition 11.1 and Proposition 11.2 we obtain the following smoothness result.

Theorem 11.3. *Given an H-frame $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ over a coordinate open $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the maps $(y, x) \to \varepsilon_x(y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(y, x) \to \varepsilon_x^{-1}(y)$ are smooth maps from $U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and denote by $\mathcal{H}(U)$ the subspace of $\mathcal{X}(U)^n$ consisting of frames $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ such that, for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ with $w_i + w_j \leq r$, we have

$$[X_i, X_j](x) \in \mathrm{Span}\,\{X_k(x);\ w_k \leq w_i + w_j\} \qquad \text{for all } x \in U.$$

We note that if $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is in $\mathcal{H}(U)$, then this is an H-frame with respect to the flag $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_r)$, where H_w is the sub-bundle generated by the vector fields X_j, $w_j \leq w$.

We shall equip $\mathcal{H}(U)$ with the topology induced by that of $\mathcal{X}(U)^n$. We observe that in (11.1) and (11.4) the coefficients $c_{j\alpha}(B_X(a))$ and $d_{j\alpha}(B_X(a))$ depend continuously on $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ with respect to the aforementioned topology on $\mathcal{H}(U)$. Therefore, we arrive at the following statement.

Theorem 11.4. *The maps $X \to \varepsilon_y^X(x)$ and $X \to \left(\varepsilon_y^X\right)^{-1}(x)$ are continuous maps from $\mathcal{H}(U)$ to $C^\infty(U \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

12. Normal Carnot Coordinates on a Graded Nilpotent Lie Group

In this section, we compute the normal Carnot coordinates in the special case of a graded nilpotent Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Carnot manifold structure.

Let G be an n-dimensional graded nilpotent Lie group. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G has a grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ satisfying (2.2). For $w = 1, \ldots, r$ and $j = \dim \mathfrak{h}_{w-1} + 1, \ldots, \dim \mathfrak{h}_w$ set $w_j = w$ and $\mathfrak{h}_w = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_w$. Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $e_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{w_j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We then have structure constants L_{ij}^k, $w_i + w_j = w_k$, such that

$$[e_i, e_j] = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{w_k = w_i + w_j} L_{ij}^k e_k & \text{if } w_i + w_j \leq r, \\ 0 & \text{if } w_i + w_j > r. \end{cases} \tag{12.1}$$

The basis $\{e_j\}$ enables us to identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the graded Lie algebra structure defined by the relations (12.1) and the grading associated with the dilations (5.1). For sake of simplicity we shall assume that the underlying set of G is $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is the identity. This implies that G is $\mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with the group law (8.2) associated with the structure constants L_{ij}^k. In particular, with respect to this group law $x^{-1} = -x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let X_j be the left-invariant vector field on G that agrees with ∂_j at $x = 0$. For $w = 1, \ldots, r$, we denote by H_w the subbundle of TG generated by the vector fields X_j, $w_j \leq w$. This defines a left-invariant Carnot flag $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_r)$ on G with respect to which $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is an H-frame. In addition, an explicit formula for X_j in terms of the structure constants L_{ij}^k is given by (8.3). In particular, the vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ satisfy (7.1) and they also satisfy the relations (6.2) with respect to the structure constants L_{ij}^k. This implies that, in the notation of (2.8), the coefficients $L_{ij}^k(x)$ are constant and given by

$$L_{ij}^k(x) = \begin{cases} L_{ij}^k & \text{if } w_k = w_i + w_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $a \in G$. We shall denote by $\mathfrak{g}_a$ (resp., G_a) the tangent nilpotent Lie algebra (resp., tangent group) of G at the point a. As before the H-frame $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ gives rise to a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_a$ which provides us with global coordinate system on $\mathfrak{g}_a$ and G_a. As $L_{ij}^k(a) = L_{ij}^k$ when $w_k = w_i + w_j$, we see that these coordinates identify $\mathfrak{g}_a$ with $\mathfrak{g}$. In view of the formulas (8.2) and (8.3) for the group law of G_a and the vector fields X_j^a they also identify G_a with G, and we have

$$X_j^a = X_j \qquad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{12.2}$$

We are now in a position to compute the normal Carnot coordinate map ε_a associated with the H-frame $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

Proposition 12.1. *For all $x \in G$, we have*

$$\varepsilon_a^X(x) = a^{-1} \cdot x = (-a) \cdot x.$$

Proof. For $x_0 \in G$ let us denote by λ_{x_0} the left-translation by x_0. The proof then amounts to showing that $\varepsilon_a^X = \lambda_{-a}$. Let us first show that λ_{-a} provides us with Carnot coordinates.

The very definition of the vector field X_j implies that $(\lambda_{-a})_* X_j(0) = X_j(0) = \partial_j$, so we see that the coordinates $y = \lambda_{-a}(x)$ are linearly adapted at a. Moreover, the homogeneity and left-invariance of the vector field X_j imply that

$$t^{w_j} \delta_t^* \left((\lambda_{-a})_* X_j\right) = t^{w_j} \delta_t^* X_j = X_j.$$

Combining this with (12.2) we deduce that, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$X_j^{(a)} = \lim_{t \to 0^+} t^{w_j} \delta_t^* \left((\lambda_{-a})_* X_j \right) = X_j = X_j^a \quad \text{in } \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Using Proposition 8.2 then shows that λ_{-a} provides us with Carnot coordinates at a.

Let us now check that the map $\lambda_{-a}(x)$ is of the form given by Theorem 10.1. For $j = 1, \dots, n$, let ϵ_j be the j-th vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e., the coordinate vector of $\dot{X}_j(a)$). Then, for all smooth functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$X_j f(a) = \frac{d}{dt}\, f \circ \lambda_a(t\epsilon_j)|_{t=0} = \sum_k \partial_j \lambda_a(0)_k \partial_k f(a).$$

Therefore, the coefficient matrix of the frame $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ at a is equal to $(\partial_j \lambda_a(0)_k) = \lambda_a'(0)^T$. As the equality $\lambda_{-a} \circ \lambda_a = \mathrm{id}$ implies that $\lambda_{-a}'(a) = \lambda_a'(0)^{-1}$, we then deduce that the affine map $T_a(x)$ is given by

$$T_a(x) = \left(\lambda_a'(0)^T \right)^{-T} (x - a) = \lambda_{-a}'(0)(x - a).$$

Note that these equalities are tantamount to the fact that λ_{-a} provides us with linearly adapted coordinates at the point a.

It follows from (8.2) that the function $\lambda_a(x)_j$ is affine with slope 1 with respect to the variable x_j and is independent of the variables x_k with $w_k \geq w_j$ and $k \neq j$. Therefore, we see that $\partial_k \lambda_a(0)_j = \delta_{jk}$ for $w_k \geq w_k$, and so we have

$$\left(\lambda_a'(0)x \right)_j = x_j + \sum_{w_k < w_j} \partial_k \lambda_a(0)_j x_k \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{12.3}$$

Bearing this in mind, Eq. (8.2) shows that $\lambda_{-a}(x)_j$ is a linear combination of monomials x^α with $\langle \alpha \rangle \leq w_j$. Therefore, the Taylor formula for polynomials gives

$$\lambda_{-a}(x)_j = \left(\lambda_{-a}'(a)(x - a) \right)_j + R_j(x - a), \qquad R_j(y) := \sum_{\substack{\langle \alpha \rangle \leq w_j \\ |\alpha| \geq 2}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha \lambda_{-a}(a) y^\alpha. \tag{12.4}$$

Set $\tilde{R}_j(x) = R_j \circ \left(\lambda_a'(0) \right)(x)$. Using (12.4) and the equality $x - a = \lambda_a'(0)^{-1} \circ T_a(x)$, we get

$$\lambda_{-a}(x) = T_a(x)_j + \tilde{R}_j \circ T_a(x).$$

Furthermore, using (12.3) and the expression of $R_j(x)$ above, we see that $\tilde{R}_j$ is a linear combination of monomials x^α with $\langle \alpha \rangle \leq w_j$ and $|\alpha| \geq 2$. Therefore, we see that $\lambda_{-a}(x)$ is a polynomial map of the form (10.7). As it provides us with Carnot privileged coordinates we then deduce from Theorem 10.2 that $\lambda_{-a} = \varepsilon_a^X$. The proof is complete. □

References

[ABB] Agrachev, A., Barilari, D., Boscain, U.: *Introduction to Riemannian and sub-Riemannian geometry*. To appear, `http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~davide.barilari/Notes.php`.

[AM1] Agrachev, A.; Marigo, A.: *Nonholonomic tangent spaces: intrinsic construction and rigid dimensions*. Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (2003), 111–120.

[AM2] Agrachev, A.; Marigo, A.: *Rigid Carnot algebras: a classification*. J. Dyn. Control Syst. **11** (2005), 449–494.

[AS] Agrachev, A.A., Sarychev, A.V.: *Filtrations of a Lie algebra of vector fields and nilpotent approximations of control systems*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **285** (1987), 777–781 . (English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988), 104–108.)

[Ba] Banyaga, A.: *On essential conformal groups and a conformal invariant*, J. Geom. **68** (2000), 10–15.

[BG] Beals, R.; Greiner, P.: *Calculus on Heisenberg manifolds*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 119, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.

[Be] Bellaïche, A.: *The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry*. Sub-Riemannian geometry, pp. 1–78, Progr. Math. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.

[Bi1] Biquard, O.: *Quaternionic contact structures*. Quaternionic structures in mathematics and physics, Univ. Studi Roma La Sapienza, Rome, 1999.

[Bi2] Biquard, O.: *Métriques d'Einstein asymptotiquement symétriques*. Astérisque **265** (2000), 115 pages.

[Bl] Bloch, A.M.: *Nonholonomic mechanics and control*. Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, Vol. 24. Springer, New York, 2003.

[BLU] Bonfiglioli, A.; Lanconelli, E.; Uguzzoni, F.: *Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2007).

[Br] Bramanti, M.: *An Invitation to hypoelliptic operators and Hörmander's vector fields*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer International Publishing, New York, 2014.

[Bry] Bryant, R.: *Conformal geometry and 3-plane fields on 6-manifolds. Developments of Cartan Geometry and Related Mathematical Problems*, RIMS Symposium Proceedings, Vol. 1502, June 2006, pp. 1–15.

[CC] Calin, O., Chang, D.-C.: *Sub-Riemannian geometry. General theory and examples*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 126. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[Ca] Cartan, E.: *Les systèmes de Pfaff à cinq variables et les équations aux derivées partielles du second ordre*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **27** (1910), 263–355.

[CS] Čap, A.; Slovák, J.: *Parabolic Geometries I: Background and General Theory*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs vol. 154, American Mathematical Society, (2009).

[CP] Choi, W.; Ponge, R.: *Privileged coordinates and tangent groupoid for Carnot manifolds, II. Tangent maps and tangent groupoid*. E-print, arXiv, April 2017.

[CGGP] Christ, M.; Geller, D.; Głowacki, P.; Polin, L.: *Pseudodifferential operators on groups with dilations*. Duke Math. J. **68** (1992) 31–65.

[Co] Connes, A.: *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.

[CM] Connes, A., Moscovici, H.: *The local index formula in noncommutative geometry*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **5** (1995), 174–243.

[Cu] Cummins, T.: *A pseudodifferential calculus associated with 3-step nilpotent groups*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **14** (1989), no. 1, 129–171.

[ET] Eliashberg, Y.; Thurston, W.: *Confoliations*. University Lecture Series, 13, AMS, Providence, RI, 1998.

[FJ] Falbel, E.; Jean, F.: *Measures of transverse paths in sub-Riemannian geometry*. J. Anal. Math. **91** (2003), 231–246.

[Fo] Folland, G. B.: *Lipschitz classes and Poisson integrals on stratified groups*. Studia Math. **66** (1979), 37–55.

[FS] Folland, G.; Stein, E.: *Estimates for the $\overline{\partial}_b$-complex and analysis on the Heisenberggroup*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (1974) 429–522.

[Fox1] Fox, D.J.F.: *Contact projective structures*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54** (2005) 1547–1598.

[Fox2] Fox, D.J.F.: *Contact path geometries*. E-print, `arXiv:math.DG/0508343`, 36 pages.

[GV] Gershkovich, V.; Vershik, A.: *Nonholonomic manifolds and nilpotent analysis*. J. Geom. Phys. **5** (1988), 407–452.

[GS] Greiner, P.C.; Stein, E.M.: *Estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem*, Mathematical Notes, Princeton University Press, 1977.

[Gro] Gromov, M.: *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*. Sub-Riemannian geometry, pp. 85–323, Progr. Math. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.

[Go] Goodman, N.: *Nilpotent Lie groups: structure and applications to analysis*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 562. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[HN] Helffer, B.; Nourrigat, J.: *Hypoellipticité maximale pour des opérateurs polynômes de champs de vecteurs*. Prog. Math., No. 58, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1986.

[Je1] Jean, F.: *The car with N trailers: characterization of the singular configurations*. ESAIM: Cont. Opt. Calc. Var. **1** (1996) 241–266.

[Je2] Jean, F.: *Control of nonholonomic systems: from sub-Riemannian geometry to motion planning*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer International Publishing, New york, 2014.

[He] Hermes, H.: *Nilpotent and high-order approximations of vector field systems*. SIAM Review **33** (2), 238–264.

[Hö] Hörmander, L.: *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*. Acta Math. **119** (1967), 147–171.

[Ka] Kaplan, A.: *Fundamental solutions for a class of hypoelliptic PDE generated by composition of quadratic forms*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **258** (1980), 147–153.

[MM] Margulis, G.A; Mostow,G.D.: *Some remarks on the definition of tangent cones in a Carnot-Carathéodory space*. J. Anal. Math. **80** (2000), 299–317.

[Me] Melin, A.: *Lie filtrations and pseudo-differential operators*. Preprint, 1982.

[Mé1] Métivier, G.: *function spectrale et valeurs propres d'une classe d'opérateurs non elliptiques*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **47** (1976), 467–510.

[Mé2] Métivier, G.: *Hypoellipticité analytique sur des groupes nilpotents de rang 2*. Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), 195–213.

[Mi] Mitchell, J.: *On Carnot-Carathéodory metrics*. J. Differential Geom. **21** (1985), 35–45.

[Mo] Montgomery, R.: *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 91. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2002).

[NSW] Nagel, A.; Stein, E.M.; Wainger, S.: *Metrics defined by vector fields I: Basic Properties*. Acta Math. **155** (1985), 103–147.

[Pa] Pansu, P.: *Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un*. Ann. Math. (2), **129** (1989), 1–60.

[Po] Ponge, R.: *The tangent groupoid of a Heisenberg manifold*. Pacific J. Math. **227** (2006), no. 1, 151–175.

[Ri] Rifford, L.: *Sub-Riemannian geometry and optimal transport*. Springer Briefs in Mathematics. Springer International Publishing, New york, 2014.
[Ro] Rockland, C.: *Intrinsic nilpotent approximation*. Acta Appl. Math. **8** (1987), no. 3, 213–270.
[RS] Rothschild, L.; Stein, E.; *Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups*. Acta Math. **137** (1976), no. 3-4, 247–320.
[Ta] Tanaka, N.: *On differential systems, graded Lie algebras and pseudogroups*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **10** (1970), 1–82.
[Tay] Taylor, M.E.: *Noncommutative microlocal analysis. I*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1984), no. 313.
[vE] van Erp, E.: *Contact structures of arbitrary codimension and idempotents in the Heisenberg algebra*. E-print, `arXiv:1001.5426`, 13 pages.
[Ve] Vergne, M.: *Cohomologie des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes. Application à l'étude de la vari'étdes algèbres de Lie nilpotentes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **98** (1970), 81–116.
[VG] Vershik, A.; Gershkovich, V.: *A bundle of nilpotent Lie algebras over a nonholonomic manifold*. J. Soviet Math. **59** (1992), 1040–1053.
[We] Weinstein, A.: *Fat bundles and symplectic manifolds*. Adv. Math. **37** (1980), 239–250.

Korean Institute of Advanced Study, Seoul, South Korea
E-mail address: `wchoi@kias.re.kr`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea
E-mail address: `ponge.snu@gmail.com`